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## Foreword

The Government of Rwanda needs updated information for monitoring progress on poverty reduction programmes and policies as stipulated in the second Economic Development and Poverty Reduction Strategy (EDPRS2), the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and Vision 2020.

The 2013/14 EICV is a follow-up to the 2000/01, 2005/06 and 2010/11 EICV surveys. Each survey provides information on monetary poverty measured in consumption expenditure terms, but also provides complementary socio-economic information that facilitates understanding changes in households living conditions.

The 2013/14 EICV was implemented by the National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda (NISR), in collaboration with different stakeholders in the country.

Results of the 2013/14 EICV indicate substantial progress in poverty reduction and improvement in other socio-economic and demographic indicators in the last three years. The survey shows that poverty is at $39.1 \%$ as of $2013 / 14$, down from $44.9 \%$ as was reported in 2010/11. During the same period, extreme poverty dropped from $24.1 \%$ to $16.3 \%$.

Generally the progress is impressive. However challenges remain; many Rwandans are still poor and for many others living conditions still need to be improved especially in areas of education and employment.

I find these findings very informative; the report is an important vehicle for addressing poverty concerns and informing policy makers and other stakeholders where to intervene. We should stay on course.

I urge all stakeholders: government, researchers, partners and the general public to optimize the use of these findings.

Finally, I congratulate the National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda and all those who contributed in one way or another in this exercise, for the job well done.


## Claver Gatete

Minister of Finance and Economic Planning
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## Important technical notes for data users

## Revision of urban and rural classification in the EICV3 and EICV4 data

While preparing the 2012 Rwanda Population and Housing Census (RPHC), the urban and rural classification of all villages was revised, hence the 2012 RPHC embraced four classifications: (1) urban, (2) rural, (3) peri-urban, and (4) semi-urban. Depending on the characteristics of a given area, the NISR defines the urban domain as the combination of urban and semi-urban, whereas the rural domain is composed of rural and peri-urban. The EICV4 sample was designed and drawn using the sampling frame of enumeration areas from the 2012 Rwanda Population and Housing Census, and the corresponding new urban and rural classification was taken into consideration.

In order to ensure consistency with the Urban and Rural classification for EICV2 sample, which was built on the sampling frame from the 2002 Census, the EICV3 analysis was carried out based on the urban and rural classification from the 2002 Rwanda Population and Housing Census. Given the fact that prior to EICV3, Rwanda was divided into new administrative entities, the old administrative structure which was used in the 2002 Population and Housings Census had to be updated using GIS databases so as to appropriately classify the EICV3 sample villages by the Urban-rural 2002 code.

To allow a comparison between EICV4 and EICV3 estimates with respect to residence areas, it was essential to apply the same urban/rural classification to data from both survey rounds. This means using the new classification established in 2012 in both surveys (EICV3 and EICV4) for all tabulations by urban-rural location of the unit of analysis. This was done to make sure the current distribution of the population and population characteristics are correctly represented. Therefore, the EICV3 estimates disaggregated by urban/rural presented in this report reflect the new classification established in 2012.

However, it is noteworthy to mention that slight differences might be observed when comparing the distribution of households in EICV3 under the 2002 and 2012 Population and Housings Census classifications. This implies that due to some villages having changed their urban/rural status, the EICV3 data presented in this report might narrowly differ from estimates presented in previous EICV3 reports. The following table shows a cross-tabulation of the two urban-rural classification variables for the EICV3 sample of households.

Table 0.1: Classification of sample households (unweighted) in the EICV3 survey by 2002 and 2012 urban-rural classifications

|  | Urban (2002) | Rural (2002) | Total (2012) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Urban (2012) | 1,357 | 705 | 2,062 |
| Rural (2012) | 792 | 11,454 | 12,246 |
| Total (2002) | 2,149 | 12,159 | 14,308 |

Source: EICV3; urban-rural classification from RPHC 2012.

## Rounding of estimates

Estimates presented in the tables are expressed with one decimal place. However, to improve readability, estimates referred to in the interpretation of results have been rounded to the nearest integer, except for the discussion of relatively small percentages.

## Consumption quintiles

Throughout the report results are also disaggregated by consumption quintiles. Quintiles are constructed by sorting the sample of households by annual consumption values, and dividing the population into five equal groups. The $20 \%$ of individuals with the highest annual consumption are allocated to quintile 5 , and the $20 \%$ of individuals with the lowest levels of annual consumption are allocated to quintile 1 . The poorest households and their members are found in quintile 1 and the richest are found in quintile $5 .{ }^{1}$

EICV uses consumption as a proxy for income, as is common when estimating poverty. Quintiles are a relative measure of individuals' consumption in comparison to the rest of the population during a specific period. Therefore, comparisons between EICV3 and EICV4 do not inform about and are not comparable in terms of consumption levels as thresholds set to allocate population to the quintiles are not the same in both survey rounds.

[^0]
## Executive summary

Rwanda being one of East African countries that are not richly endowed with natural resources, it has envisaged its human capital as the most important resource it has and therefore its engine in first tracking the socio-economic development agenda. This notion is relevant to vision 2020 where human resource development and building of a knowledge based economy are fundamental pillars.

Developing knowledge infrastructure by massively investing in education and training is considered as a benchmark in facilitating the acceleration and increase of skills, capacities and competences of Rwandan people and has been a priority in the recent years.

This report comprises of indicators that have been generated from the survey results, to enable assessment of the progress made in the education sector in the last three years. It presents data on access to primary and secondary education while attempting to identify factors which affect these education levels. It also probes access to technical, vocational and higher learning education. Further, self-perceived levels of user satisfaction with services provided in education sector are also discussed. Lastly the report covers literacy and ability to use computer. To the extent possible, comparisons were made to give a picture of a general trend.

## Access to primary school

Nationwide, access to primary school for children aged between 7 and 12 years remained somewhat steady in the last 3 years despite a slight drop of $2 \%$ (from $90 \%$ in EICV3 to 88\% in EICV4)

At the province level, the highest NAR is found in the Northern Province (92\%) and among the population in the richest consumption quintile (92\%) while the lowest attendance was observed in the Southern Province (87\%) among those belonging in the poorest consumption quintile ( $82 \%$ ). When age of the student is taken into consideration, EICV4 results show that primary school attendance is highest among children aged 11 and 12 years ( $95 \%$ and $93 \%$ respectively).

Findings illustrate also that over-age children in primary school dropped by about 5\% in the last 3 years with a most considerable decrease observed in rural areas (from 35\% in 2010 to $30 \%$ in 2013). EICV4 results indicate that the Southern Province has the highest proportion of the over-age children while Kigali city registered the lowest (32\% and $26 \%$ respectively).

Overall, the GAR dropped by $10 \%$ since 2010 from 144\% in EICV3 to 134\% in EICV4, a hint that over-age enrolments have been declining in the last 3 years. A breakdown by geographic and socio-economic characteristics reveals that the proportion of over/under- age children in the primary school decreased more in the rural areas (from

145\% in EICV3 to 134\% in EICV4) compared to the urban ones (136\% in EICV3 and $137 \%$ in EICV4). The GAR is highest in the Northern Province (137\%) while it is lowest in the Eastern Province (132\%).

It emerged also from the results that repetition rate in Rwanda for children attending primary school dropped by 4\% since EICV3 (From 30\% in EICV3 to 26\% in EICV4).

## Access to secondary school

Despite relative advances since 2010, secondary school net attendance is still predominant in urban compared to rural areas ( $39 \%$ and $19 \%$ respectively). At the provincial level, Kigali continues to possess the highest secondary school attendance for children aged 13 to 18 years old (around $37 \%$ in both EICVs) while the Southern and Western provinces recorded the least (about 20\% both)

On a gender point of view, EICV4 results show that girls have the biggest proportion in terms of NAR in secondary compared to boys ( $25 \%$ and $21 \%$ respectively). When age is considered, the highest NAR in secondary schools is registered among children aged 17 and 18 years ( $35 \%$ and $34.5 \%$ respectively).

Irrespective of their age, the proportion of the population attending secondary school grew from $33 \%$ in 2010 to $41 \%$ in 2013. This corresponds to an $8 \%$ increase, which is a hint that, generally, access to secondary school education is expanding in Rwanda

Across all Rwanda, repetition rates in secondary school slightly dwindled from 3.2 in EICV3 to 3.1 in EICV4. Differentials by geographic characteristics province indicate that in the last 3 years the highest repetition rate was observed again in the Southern Province (5.3\%). Repetition is still more prevalent in the rural area (3.4\%) than in the urban setting (2.1\%).

## Access to technical/vocational and tertiary education

Countrywide, the number of the population who are enrolled in technical/vocational education grew slightly from 2.06\% in EICV3 to 2.46\% in EICV4. A disaggregation at the subnational level indicates that this type of education is predominant in urban (4\%) rather than in rural areas (2\%), it is highest in Kigali than elsewhere (4\%) in EICV4.

In the last 3 years, the proportion of the population aged $16-30$ years attending a tertiary education in Rwanda augmented from 2\% in EICV3 to 3\% in EICV4. Access to higher learning education remains more prevalent in the urban population (8.5\%), but some improvements were observed since 2010 as less privileged subgroups of the population are also catching up.

## User satisfaction and facilities

At the national level, $90 \%$ of households with children currently attending the primary school were contented with the education services they receive, which reflects a $5 \%$
increase since EICV4 and a hint that the quality of education services provided in Rwanda is perceived to have improved in the last 3 years.

## Literacy

The levels of literacy are found to be higher among the population aged 15 to 24 than among the whole population aged above15. In 2013-14, about $86 \%$ of the population aged between 15 and 24 and $72 \%$ of the population aged 15 years and above reported knowing how to read and write. This reflects the higher levels of access to education among the population aged 15 to 24 .

The use of computer is more common among urban dwellers, especially in Kigali as well as in the highest wealth quintiles. According to EICV4 results, computer literacy rates are significantly higher in Urban than in Rural (26\% against 4.3\%). Likewise, literacy rates are higher in Kigali City (24.4\%) than in other Provinces (7\% at most). Computer literacy differs significantly between bottom and lower quintiles, and this difference exists both in 2010-11 and 2013-14.
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## Chapter 1: Introduction

The second Economic Development and Poverty Reduction Strategy (EDPRS2: 20132018) mainstreams a system of monitoring and evaluation at national, sub-national and sectoral levels of the government's socio-economic performance vis-à-vis the country's long term development aspirations as embodied in Rwanda Vision 2020.

A key input into the mid-term evaluation of the EDPRS2 is the evidence collected through the EICV4 survey. Fieldwork for the survey was conducted by the NISR between October 2013 and October 2014. Three reports based on EICV4 data were released by the NISR in September 2015: The Main indicators report, Rwanda poverty profile report, and social protection and VUP report. Following from these initial publications, the NISR releases a series of seven (7) further reports that explore in depth seven (7) different topics that are of high importance to the mid-term evaluation of EDPRS2.

This report is one of the seven (7) aforementioned thematic reports. It focuses on access to education and evaluates the strides made in the education sector between 2010-11 and 2013-14. It presents data on access to primary, secondary, tertiary education and technical or vocational schools.

This report explores a wealth of evidence collected through the EICV4. It also makes use of the fact that the sample sizes of third and fourth rounds of are sufficient to provide estimates that are reliable at the district level.

Following some short methodological notes in the following section, the second and third chapters review data on access to primary and secondary education while attempting to identify factors affecting access to these education levels. The fourth and fifth chapters focus, respectively, on access to technical and vocational education and training and higher learning, while the sixth chapter reviews self-perceived levels of user satisfaction with the services provided by the education sector. Lastly, the seventh chapter discusses the progress made in terms of ability to read and write and computer literacy rates as well.

The annexes present district-level estimates for selected key indicators and include all tables used while making the various figures presented in the report.

### 1.1 The education and training system in Rwanda in a demographic context

In Rwanda the education system is composed of four main levels: Pre-primary, Primary, Secondary, and Higher Education, with a significant TVET stream at both secondary and higher education levels. In addition there is non-formal education, or Adult Basic Education (ABE) as it is now more commonly referred to. Compulsory education spans the nine years from age 7 to age 15, covering primary and lower secondary education, and is commonly known as Nine Years Basic Education (9YBE).

Pre-primary Education is gained through nursery schools and for a period of three years for children between the age of 4 and 6 . Primary Education lasts six years with the official school age at this level being from 7 years to 12 years. This stage focuses on core literacy and numeracy skills, as well as preparation for secondary studies. Primary education ends with national examinations which determine eligibility for proceeding to Lower Secondary school. Secondary Education also lasts for six years with the official age for this level being from 13 years to 18 years of age. It is subdivided into lower secondary (the first three years) and upper secondary (the last three years), both culminating in national examinations which respectively determine eligibility for upper secondary, and secondary graduation or entry to higher education. At upper secondary level students choose between continuing in general secondary schools and enrolling in a Technical Secondary School (TSS) or a Teacher Training Colleges (TTC) to train as a primary teacher. Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) provides young people and the unemployed with the skills to gain productive employment and also provides those already in employment with an opportunity to upgrade their skills, including entrepreneurs and those wishing to work for themselves. TVET is delivered through the Technical Secondary Schools (TSSs), Vocational Training Centres (VTCs) and Integrated Polytechnic Regional Centres (IPRCs). At tertiary level students can pursue their studies in a range of academic directions or opt to enter an array of technical or vocational fields. Undergraduate degrees currently require four years to complete.

Results in this report show that the population of Rwanda has increased from 10.8 million to 11.4 million according to EICV3 and EICV4 respectively. This population is essentially young as its median age is currently 18 years and about $71 \%$ of it is aged 30 years old. The figure 1.1 presents the population distribution by age and sex as per EICV4 results. It shows that $17.5 \%$ of the population is aged 7 to 12 years while $14 \%$ are between 13 to 18 years old.

Figure 1. 1: \% distribution of population by age groups and sex, EICV4


Source: EICV4

## Chapter 2: Access to education

The following section presents indicators on access to education at different levels in Rwanda. Countrywide, the proportion of the population aged 6 years and above that ever attended school increased by 3\% (from 83\% in EICV3 to 86\% in EIV4). The same trend is observed across all provinces as well as in urban and rural areas. Ever attendance is higher in urban areas especially in Kigali City and remains high among population belonging in the richest quintile though people in other quintiles are catching up (see Table 2.1).

Table 2. 1: Percentage (\%) of population aged 6+ years that have ever attended school, by urban/rural, Province, sex, 5 year age group and consumption quintile (EICV4, EICV3)

|  | EICV4 |  | EICV3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% ever attended school | Population aged <br> 6+ years (000s) | \% ever attended school | Population aged 6+ years (000s) |
| All Rwanda | 86.1 | 9,517 | 83.2 | 8,821 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 93.3 | 1,673 | 91.4 | 1,411 |
| Rural | 84.6 | 7,844 | 81.6 | 7,409 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 94.3 | 1,110 | 91.4 | 870 |
| Southern Province | 84.9 | 2,234 | 81.8 | 2,082 |
| Western Province | 84.4 | 2,179 | 82.1 | 2,112 |
| Northern Province | 86.3 | 1,525 | 83.9 | 1,648 |
| Eastern Province | 85.0 | 2,468 | 81.7 | 2,108 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 88.8 | 4,504 | 86.6 | 4,145 |
| Female | 83.8 | 5,013 | 80.2 | 4,676 |
| 5 year age group |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 82.8 | 1,342 | 75.0 | 1,260 |
| 10-14 | 98.3 | 1,538 | 98.6 | 1,404 |
| 15-19 | 98.3 | 1,198 | 97.3 | 1,205 |
| 20-24 | 95.5 | 1,080 | 93.4 | 1,026 |
| 25-29 | 92.1 | 910 | 88.5 | 885 |
| 30-34 | 87.7 | 842 | 85.9 | 648 |
| 35-39 | 86.2 | 557 | 83.1 | 504 |
| 40-44 | 81.4 | 446 | 75.8 | 414 |
| 45-49 | 71.2 | 357 | 65.9 | 369 |
| 50-54 | 65.8 | 362 | 61.6 | 335 |
| 55-59 | 62.5 | 297 | 57.1 | 249 |
| 60-64 | 54.3 | 204 | 52.0 | 160 |
| 65+ | 40.6 | 384 | 35.9 | 361 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 80.8 | 1,841 | 77.7 | 1,708 |
| Q2 | 83.8 | 1,848 | 80.2 | 1,714 |
| Q3 | 85.1 | 1,884 | 82.6 | 1,712 |
| Q4 | 87.8 | 1,894 | 83.7 | 1,758 |
| Q5 | 92.5 | 2,050 | 90.6 | 1,928 |

[^1]The EICV considers someone as a "current school attendant" when he/she reports having attended school in 12 months preceding the survey. The reference period is therefore a relative measure of attendance for each respondent with a standardized retrospective duration of 12 months.

The findings in Table 2.2 reveal a relatively steady current school attendance rate with a $2 \%$ increase between the two survey rounds (from 57\% in EICV3 to 59\% in EICV4). When age is considered, younger students have the highest attendance in the last 12 months, the highest current attendances were observed among those aged between 6 and 9 years ( $82 \%$ ) and those aged between 10 and 14 years ( $94 \%$ ) while the lowest was found among those aged between 25 and 30 years (6.5\%).

Table 2. 2: Percentage (\%) of population aged 6-30 years that have attended school in the past 12 months, by urban/rural, Province, sex, 5 year age group and consumption quintile (EICV4, EICV3)

|  | EICV4 |  | EICV3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% attended school in past 12 months | Population aged 6-30 years (000s) | \% attended school in past 12 months | Population aged 6-30 years $(000 s)$ |
| All Rwanda | 58.9 | 6,268 | 57.3 | 5,952 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 57.8 | 1,160 | 58.4 | 999 |
| Rural | 59.1 | 5,108 | 57.0 | 4,953 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 55.0 | 762 | 53.1 | 606 |
| Southern Province | 60.8 | 1,400 | 58.2 | 1,348 |
| Western Province | 59.4 | 1,453 | 57.3 | 1,446 |
| Northern Province | 60.5 | 1,009 | 59.1 | 1,123 |
| Eastern Province | 57.5 | 1,644 | 56.7 | 1,429 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 59.4 | 3,046 | 58.7 | 2,878 |
| Female | 58.4 | 3,222 | 55.9 | 3,074 |
| 5 year age group |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 82.2 | 1,342 | 74.6 | 1,260 |
| 10-14 | 94.3 | 1,538 | 95.9 | 1,404 |
| 15-19 | 63.9 | 1,198 | 68.2 | 1,205 |
| 20-24 | 27.8 | 1,080 | 23.7 | 1,026 |
| 25-30 | 6.5 | 1,110 | 5.3 | 1,056 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 62.4 | 1,227 | 60.6 | 1,158 |
| Q2 | 62.2 | 1,203 | 59.7 | 1,146 |
| Q3 | 59.9 | 1,226 | 57.0 | 1,145 |
| Q4 | 57.6 | 1,238 | 53.8 | 1,180 |
| Q5 | 53.0 | 1,374 | 55.5 | 1,324 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
EICV4 results indicate that Public and free/subsidised schools are the most attended schools in Rwanda with $56 \%$ and 37 \% respectively (see Table 2.3). However, there is
still a remarkable gap between urban and rural areas regarding private school attendance ( $25 \%$ and $4 \%$ respectively). Free/subsidised schools are predominant among the population in the poorest quintile (50\%) while private schools are more common among those in the richest quintile and among those aged between 25 and 30 years old ( $26 \%$ and $48 \%$ respectively).

Table 2. 3: Distribution (\%) of population aged 6-30 years attending school in the past 12 months, by type of school, urban/rural, Province, sex, 5 year age group and consumption quintile (EICV4, EICV3)

| EICV4 | Type of school |  |  | Total | Persons aged 630 years and attending school in past 12 months (000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public school | Private <br> school | Free/ subsidized school |  |  |
| All Rwanda | 55.5 | 7.8 | 36.6 | 100.0 | 3,523 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 57.0 | 25.3 | 17.7 | 100.0 | 642 |
| Rural | 55.2 | 3.9 | 40.9 | 100.0 | 2,881 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 63.3 | 26.6 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 397 |
| Southern Province | 38.2 | 4.2 | 57.6 | 100.0 | 806 |
| Western Province | 34.1 | 4.6 | 61.2 | 100.0 | 840 |
| Northern Province | 65.8 | 4.6 | 29.6 | 100.0 | 584 |
| Eastern Province | 81.1 | 7.9 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 897 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 55.9 | 7.9 | 36.2 | 100.0 | 1,725 |
| Female | 55.2 | 7.7 | 37.0 | 100.0 | 1,798 |
| 5 year age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 55.3 | 5.1 | 39.7 | 100.0 | 938 |
| 10-14 | 56.6 | 4.2 | 39.2 | 100.0 | 1,448 |
| 15-19 | 57.8 | 6.3 | 35.9 | 100.0 | 765 |
| 20-24 | 48.8 | 28.2 | 23.0 | 100.0 | 300 |
| 25-30 | 41.3 | 48.1 | 10.6 | 100.0 | 72 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 48.1 | 1.5 | 50.4 | 100.0 | 732 |
| Q2 | 57.2 | 2.1 | 40.7 | 100.0 | 712 |
| Q3 | 59.5 | 2.9 | 37.5 | 100.0 | 700 |
| Q4 | 60.2 | 6.9 | 32.9 | 100.0 | 679 |
| Q5 | 53.0 | 26.0 | 21.0 | 100.0 | 700 |

Source: EICV4

| EICV3 | Type of school |  |  | Persons aged 6- <br> 30 years and |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Public <br> school |  | Private <br> school |  | Total |

Source: EICV3

### 2.1. Access to primary school

EICV surveys measure and evaluate the general level of children's access and participation in primary school education through two main indicators namely; the Net and Gross attendance rates.

The Net attendance rate (NAR) is defined as the number of children of official primary school age (which is 7-12 years in Rwanda) who are enrolled in primary school education in a given year expressed as a percentage of the total children of the official primary school age population while the Gross Attendance Rate (GAR) is defined as the number of children attending primary school in a given year regardless of age expressed as a percentage of the total children of the official primary school age population (7-12 years). Furthermore, in the view to also understand various factors that may affect the access levels of the primary education, different indicators such as repetition rates and absenteeism will be discussed.

### 2.2. Net and gross attendance rates in primary school

Before starting the discussion on NAR and GAR, It is noteworthy to point out that the measurement of attendance rates has gone through a methodological alteration since the EICV3. Currently it refers to a specific school year rather than the time of the interview. In the aim to allow a congruent comparison between the EICV3 and EICV4, attendance rates were defined as the school attendance in 2010 for EICV3 and school attendance in 2013 for EICV4.

Table 2.4 indicates that countrywide, access to primary school for children aged between 7 and 12 years remained somewhat steady in the last 3 years despite a slight drop of 2\% (from 90\% in EICV3 to 88\% in EICV4).

At the province level, the highest NAR is found in the Northern Province (92\%) and among the population in the richest consumption quintile (92\%) while the lowest attendance was observed in the Southern Province (87\%) and among those belonging in the poorest consumption quintile ( $82 \%$ ). When age of the student is taken into consideration, EICV4 results show that primary school attendance is highest among children aged 11 and 12 years ( $95 \%$ and $93 \%$ respectively).

Table 2. 4: NAR in primary school by urban/rural, province, age and consumption quintiles

|  | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 87.9 | 89.6 | -1.9 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |
| Urban | 91.2 | 92.4 | -1.5 |
| Rural | 87.4 | 89.1 | -1.9 |
| Province |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 90.6 | 90.6 | -0.1 |
| Southern Province | 86.6 | 89.2 | -2.9 |
| Western Province | 87.2 | 89.2 | -2.4 |
| Northern Province | 91.7 | 93.3 | -1.7 |
| Eastern Province | 86.5 | 86.9 | -0.6 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 86.8 | 88.4 | -1.8 |
| Female | 89.0 | 90.7 | -2.0 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 82.4 | 84.3 | -2.4 |
| Q2 | 87.4 | 89.9 | -2.8 |
| Q3 | 89.9 | 90.7 | -0.9 |
| Q4 | 91.1 | 91.5 | -0.5 |
| Q5 | 92.1 | 93.5 | -1.6 |
| Age for primary |  |  |  |
| 7 years | 68.8 | 70.6 | -2.6 |
| 8 years | 87.0 | 89.5 | -2.8 |


|  | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9 years | 91.9 | 94.0 | -2.4 |
| 10 years | 95.6 | 96.4 | -0.9 |
| 11 years | 94.6 | 95.9 | -1.5 |
| 12 years | 92.5 | 93.5 | -1.1 |
| Disability status | 88.4 | 90.1 | -1.9 |
| Not disabled | 57.4 | 65.9 | -12.9 |
| Disabled |  |  |  |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
The next set of figures display how different districts fare vis-à-vis the national average of the primary school net attendance rate. Figure 2.1 indicates that compared to other districts with the least NAR, the lowest NARs were observed in Rubavu and Gisagara districts ( $81 \%$ and 82\% respectively in EICV4).

Figure 2.2 shows the top 10 districts with NARs in primary school above the national average, one observes that the two best performing districts in terms of primary school attendance are Musanze (95\%) and Gicumbi (93\%) districts followed by Gasabo and Kicukiro (both with about 92\%).

Figure 2. 1: Bottom 10 districts with NARs in primary school below the national average, EICV4


Source: EICV4

Figure 2. 2: Top 10 districts with NARs in primary school above the national average, EICV4


Source: EICV4
Like in other sectors in the country, the gender equality principle is essential in various plans for human development in the education sector. Thus EICV includes an analysis of the net attendance rate in Rwanda in relation to gender aspect. Figure 2.3 indicates that nationwide, the NAR for girls in 2013 is moderately higher compared to boys' ( $89 \%$ and $87 \%$ respectively). However, this trend reverses in the urban area whereby boys possess a higher NAR of $92 \%$ and compared to girls $91 \%$.

At the province level, the NAR is relatively high in all provinces except in Kigali city where boys represent $93 \%$ and girls $89 \%$. The highest gap between boys and girls (4\%) is observed in the Western province where NAR for boys is $85 \%$ and $89 \%$ for girls.

Figure 2. 3: NARs in primary school by province, urban/rural and sex, EICV4


Source: EICV4, EICV3
An analysis of NAR by age and sex designates that girls are likely to start school earlier than boys. The male/female gap ratio is higher at the age of starting school ( $4 \%$ for children aged 7 years old) but drops to about $1 \%$ as age increases until it slightly widens again amongst children aged 12 (see Figure 2.4).

Figure 2. 4: NARs in primary school by age group and sex, EICV4


Source: EICV4, EICV3
A breakdown by consumption quintiles reveals that the male/female gap ratio gets thinner as the level of consumption rises. Boys and girls (around 92\% for both)
belonging in the highest consumption quintile are more likely to have equal access to primary school compared to those in the lowest consumption quintile ( $81 \%$ and $84 \%$ in Q1) (see Figure 2.5).

Figure 2. 5: NARs in primary school by consumption quintile and sex, EICV4


Source: EICV4, EICV3
An analysis of over-age children in primary school was included in EICV4 to further assess the age structure in this education level. A student is considered as over-age when he/she is 13 years or older and still attends the primary school. Table 2.5 illustrates that the proportion of over-age children in primary school dropped by about $5 \%$ in the last 3 years with a most considerable decrease observed in rural areas (from $35 \%$ in 2010 to $30 \%$ in 2013). EICV4 results indicate that the Southern Province has the highest proportion of the over-age children while Kigali city registered the lowest ( $32 \%$ and $26 \%$ respectively).

Table 2. 5: Over-age persons (13+ years) as a percentage (\%) of persons attending primary school in 2013 and 2010, by urban/rural, Province, sex and consumption quintile

|  | EICV4 | EICV3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| All Rwanda | $\mathbf{2 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2}$ |
| Urban/rural |  |  |
| Urban | 26.6 | 26.1 |
| Rural | 30.1 | 35.4 |
| Province |  |  |
| Kigali City | 26.3 | 24.8 |
| Southern Province | 32.3 | 36.4 |
| Western Province | 30.6 | 35.0 |


| Northern Province | 26.4 | 32.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Eastern Province | 29.3 | 35.3 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 30.3 | 35.2 |
| Female | 28.9 | 33.1 |
| Quintile |  |  |
| Q1 | 31.5 | 35.6 |
| Q2 | 29.6 | 35.0 |
| Q3 | 30.0 | 35.1 |
| Q4 | 29.4 | 35.1 |
| Q5 | 26.0 | 28.9 |

## Source: EICV4, EICV3

The GAR measures the level of participation of children in the primary school education irrespective of their age. Table 2.6 presents GARs by geographic and socio-economic characteristics. Overall, the GAR dropped by $10 \%$ since 2010 from $144 \%$ in EICV3 to $134 \%$ in EICV4, a hint that over-age enrolments have been declining in the last 3 years. The proportion of over/under- age children in the primary school decreased more in the rural areas (from 145\% in EICV3 to 134\% in EICV4) compared to the urban ones ( $136 \%$ in EICV3 and $137 \%$ in EICV4). The GAR is highest in the Northern Province (137\%) while it is lowest in the Eastern Province (132\%). When the disability status of the child is considered, findings show that GAR remains high among not disabled children compared to disabled ones in 2013 ( $144 \%$ and $115 \%$ in respectively).

Table 2. 6: GAR in primary school by urban/rural, province and consumption quintiles


[^2]Officially a 7 years old child is supposed to start the primary school education in Rwanda. The indicator below measures to what extent children aged between 7 and 8 years are not attending the primary school. Figure 2.6 indicates that the population aged seven to eight not attending in school dropped from $16 \%$ in EICV3 to $13 \%$ in EICV4. This represents a decrease of about 3\% across Rwanda. Despite some improvements, the majority of the children aged 7 to 8 years are still found in the rural area (13\%) compared to urban one (8\%) in EICV4.

The lowest percentage of children aged 7 and 8 not in school is observed in Kigali city (7\%) and the Northern Province ( 8\%) while the highest was recorded in Eastern Province notwithstanding the improvements in the last 3 years (from 20\% in EICV3 to 15\% in EICV4).

Figure 2.6: \% of population aged 7-8 years not in school by province, urban/rural, and sex


Source: EICV4, EICV3
Figure 2.7 presents proportion of children who were not in school during the 12 months prior to the survey disaggregated by some characteristics of the household head, such as sex and level of education attained.

EICV4 Results indicate that most of households with children aged 7 or 8 are female headed (16\%) whereas $12 \%$ of them are male headed. An analysis on the level of education of the households' head designates that in spite of some changes registered over the last three years, the majority of households with at least one child aged 7 or 8 years old not in school are still those headed by a parent who has never gone to school (18\%), an indication that households headed by individuals that never went to school
might be slower to respond to incentives to send their children to school at the right age.

Figure 2.7: \% of population aged 7-8 not in school by characteristics of household head


Source: EICV4, EICV3
Figure 2.8 depicts the relationship between access to school and the orphanhood status of the child in this age group (7-8 years old). It is observed that households with children who have lost both parents are faster to respond to incentives to send these children to school at the right age (5.5\%) than households with children who have lost one parent (21\%). With regards to the disability status of the child it is clear that across all Rwanda a big gap persists between disabled and not disabled children in terms of early access to school in EICV4 (48\% and 12\% respectively).

Figure 2. 8: \% of population aged 7-8 not in school by vulnerability characteristics (EICV4, EICV3)


Source: EICV4, EICV3
When the level of consumption quintiles is taken into consideration, EICV4 results (Figure 2.9 ) pointed out that parents belonging in the lowest quintile are likely to delay sending their children to school compared to those in the richest consumption quintile ( $24 \%$ and $4 \%$ respectively). However, it is important to highlight that the highest decrease of children aged between 7 and 8 years not in school was recorded in the second poorest quintile (Q2) from 18\% in EICV3 to 12\% in EICV4.

Figure 2. 9: \% of population aged 7-8 not in school by consumption quintile (EICV4, EICV3)


Source: EICV4, EICV3

### 2.3. Repetition and promotion rates in primary school

In EICV4, repetition and promotion rates in primary school are calculated based on a 2012 population cohort. This means that the analysis focuses on all individuals that were attending primary school in 2012 and in 2013, either repeated the class they were attending in 2012 or were promoted to the next grade.

It is noteworthy to point out that the repetition indicator was subjected to a methodological change since EICV3. Previously, these indicators were defined as following: the repetition rate was referred to as the proportion of all individuals attending a given class in a certain reference year (eg: their 2009 classes) and study again the same class in the following year (eg: 2010) due to failing exams.

Currently the repetition rate definition was revised and it now refers to whether a student is currently attending the same class he/she was attending in the previous year (reference year) regardless of the reason. This indicator was recalculated in EICV3 in the view to provide a consistent comparison between the two surveys.

Figure 2.10, displays a breakdown of repetition rates by geographic and gender characteristics. It shows that nationwide; about $25 \%$ of children attending primary school repeated the class they were attending in 2012, which corresponds to a decrease of 5\% percent since the EICV3.

The highest repetition rate was observed in the Southern Province (29\%) while the lowest was found in Kigali city (20\%), the majority of repeaters in primary school are boys (27\%) whereas girls account for (24\%) as of EICV4

Figure 2. 10: \% of population aged 8 and above attending primary school in 2009 who repeated the 2009 class in 2010 by urban/rural, province, and sex (EICV4, EICV3)


Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table 2.7 presents an analysis of repetition rates by different differentials. It designates that the household head's access to education in the past and the household's consumption level are a more important factors in determining a child's performance at primary school. The majority of children who repeat school in primary are found in the lowest quintiles (Q1:27\% and Q2:28\%) while the wealthiest quintile have the lowest number (18\%).

Table 2. 7: $\%$ of population aged 7 and above attending primary school in 2009 who repeated the 2009 class in 2010 by urban/rural, province, sex, age, education level of household head, disability and orphanhood status (EICV4, EICV3)

|  | EICV4 | EICV3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 25.5 | 30.3 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |
| Urban | 18.8 | 22.9 |
| Rural | 26.6 | 31.4 |
| Province |  |  |
| Kigali City | 19.6 | 23.0 |
| Southern Province | 29.2 | 30.4 |
| Western Province | 26.8 | 32.5 |
| Northern Province | 22.5 | 28.9 |
| Eastern Province | 24.8 | 31.1 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 27.1 | 32.4 |
| Female | 23.9 | 28.2 |
| Quintile |  |  |
| Q1 | 27.7 | 36.3 |
| Q2 | 28.0 | 32.2 |
| Q3 | 26.5 | 30.9 |
| Q4 | 23.8 | 28.3 |
| Q5 | 18.2 | 20.5 |
| Age group |  |  |
| 8-9yrs | 28.3 | 34.1 |
| 10-11yrs | 24.3 | 32.1 |
| 12-13yrs | 21.7 | 26.5 |
| 14-15yrs | 19.8 | 25.6 |
| 16-17yrs | 21.0 | 21.2 |
| 18+ | 11.0 | 16.2 |
| Education level of Household head |  |  |
| Never been to school | 38.3 | 44.3 |
| Never completed primary | 37.7 | 44.7 |
| Primary | 37.8 | 45.4 |
| Secondary and above | 29.4 | 30.6 |
| Disability status |  |  |
| Not disabled | 25.5 | 30.2 |
| Disabled | 25.0 | 34.0 |
| Orphanhood |  |  |
| Not orphans | 26.0 | 31.2 |
| Single-parent orphans | 23.7 | 27.5 |
| Double-parent orphans | 24.1 | 26.5 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Figure 2.11, presents a breakdown of promotion rates by geographic and gender characteristics. It illustrates that on the national level, about 71 out of each 100 children attending primary school got promoted to the next grade in 2012, this represents an upsurge of around 2\% percent since the EICV3. Despite some advances, promotion rate
is still highest in urban areas (79\%), Kigali and Northern Province (78\% and 75\% respectively). On the gender viewpoint, the pattern remained the same as in EICV3, female students are more likely to make it to the next grade compared to male students ( $74 \%$ and $69 \%$ respectively).

Figure 2. 11: Promotion rate in primary school by urban/rural, province, and sex (EICV4/EICV3)


Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table 2.8 displays an analysis of promotion rates by various differentials. It is clear that when consumption quintiles are considered, promotion rates gets higher among students belonging in the wealthiest quintile (79\%) and among children with no disability ( $71 \%$ ). When age of the student is considered, older children are more likely to get promoted to the next grade compared to the younger ones. The gap between disabled and not disabled children in terms of their performance at school has been getting narrower in the last 3 years from 7\% in EICV3 to about 2\% in EICV4.

Table 2. 8: Promotion rate in primary school by urban/rural, province, sex, consumption quintiles, age groups, grade of the students, disability and orphanhood status (EICV4/EICV3)

|  | EICV4 | EICV3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 71.3 | 69.4 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |
| Urban | 79.5 | 79.4 |
| Rural | 69.8 | 67.6 |
| Province |  |  |
| Kigali City | 77.9 | 79.1 |
| Southern Province | 68.1 | 68.6 |
| Western Province | 69.7 | 67.1 |
| Northern Province | 75.3 | 71.0 |
| Eastern Province | 70.7 | 68.1 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 68.7 | 66.9 |
| Female | 73.8 | 71.8 |
| Quintile |  |  |
| Q1 | 66.4 | 61.1 |
| Q2 | 68.6 | 65.9 |
| Q3 | 70.8 | 68.4 |
| Q4 | 74.4 | 72.1 |
| Q5 | 79.0 | 81.7 |
| Age group |  |  |
| 8-9yrs | 57.9 | 50.8 |
| $10-11 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 70.4 | 62.1 |
| 12-13yrs | 75.9 | 71.9 |
| 14-15yrs | 76.3 | 74.8 |
| 16-17yrs | 74.2 | 78.7 |
| 18+ | 71.2 | 88.5 |
| Disability status |  |  |
| Not disabled | 71.3 | 69.5 |
| Disabled | 69.7 | 62.6 |
| Orphanhood |  |  |
| Not orphans | 71.3 | 67.8 |
| Single-parent orphans | 71.9 | 73.5 |
| Double-parent orphans | 69.1 | 74.9 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

### 2.4. Absenteeism in primary school

Attending school regularly is a vital factor in school success for students therefore this section illustrates the level of absenteeism ${ }^{2}$ in Rwanda and investigates the main issues that might be behind this phenomenon in primary school.

[^3]Table 2.9 and Table 2.10 below, demonstrate the magnitude of absenteeism throughout Rwanda in the 2 EICVs by various characteristics such as geographic, gender, orphanhood status and consumption quintiles.

Results indicate that out of 100 students who were attending primary school in 2013, almost 20 of them missed at least a day at school in the previous week preceding the survey. Absenteeism is most predominant in the lowest quintile (24\%) and in rural areas where the chances for a child to miss a day at school gets twice higher compared to the children in urban areas in 2013.

When reasons for missing school are considered, poor health (39\%), family circumstances (35\%) emerged as the core factors causing absenteeism in primary school. On province level, Kigali city is the most affected by children missing school due to financial reasons (14\%) while children in the southern province are the most affected by absenteeism due to poor health (47\%). Despite not being among the biggest factors causing absenteeism, hunger is more prevalent in the Western Province (3.1\%) than any other province. In the gender perspective, the pattern remains almost the same.

Table 2. 9: Main reasons for absenteeism in primary school EICV4

|  | Total | Poor health | Hunger | Financial reasons | Family circumstances | Fear of punishment | Transition between levels | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 19.8 | 38.6 | 1.9 | 6.9 | 34.6 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 9.2 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.5 | 35.1 | 3.9 | 8.2 | 24.9 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 10.4 |
| Rural | 21.7 | 38.8 | 1.8 | 6.8 | 35.2 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 9.2 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 10.8 | 32.4 | 1.1 | 14.1 | 23.8 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 18.5 |
| Southern Province | 21.6 | 47.1 | 2.4 | 6.6 | 32.0 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 4.1 |
| Western Province | 18.8 | 32.9 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 33.8 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 14.6 |
| Northern Province | 18.5 | 25.6 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 47.8 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 12.5 |
| Eastern <br> Province | 22.5 | 43.0 | 0.8 | 8.6 | 32.1 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 6.7 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 20.8 | 35.7 | 1.7 | 6.9 | 35.2 | 6.8 | 3.2 | 10.5 |
| Female | 18.8 | 41.7 | 2.1 | 6.9 | 33.9 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 7.9 |
| Orphanhood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not orphans | 23.3 | 39.6 | 1.6 | 6.8 | 34.4 | 5.5 | 3.1 | 9.1 |
| Single-parent orphans | 31.7 | 34.1 | 2.9 | 7.1 | 37.8 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 9.7 |
| Double-parent orphans | 24.9 | 33.5 | 4.9 | 8.4 | 32.4 | 13.8 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
| Not stated | 23.2 | 31.4 | 5.5 | 8.2 | 25.4 | 8.2 | 4.6 | 16.8 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 23.9 | 32.0 | 3.7 | 8.4 | 38.2 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 9.6 |
| Q2 | 22.2 | 40.7 | 1.9 | 6.7 | 34.4 | 6.2 | 2.9 | 7.3 |
| Q3 | 20.7 | 40.8 | 1.4 | 7.0 | 31.4 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 11.0 |
| Q4 | 17.1 | 40.5 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 35.9 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 10.2 |
| Q5 | 11.1 | 46.5 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 27.5 | 5.3 | 7.9 | 7.5 |

Source: EICV4

Table 2. 10: Main reasons for absenteeism in primary school EICV3

|  | Total | Poor health | Hunger | Financial reasons | Family circumstances | Fear of punishment | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 17.5 | 30.9 | 1.6 | 8.8 | 44.2 | 6.8 | 7.7 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 10.6 | 34.0 | 1.2 | 18.0 | 32.3 | 4.2 | 10.3 |
| Rural | 18.6 | 30.3 | 1.6 | 7.9 | 45.6 | 7.1 | 7.5 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 8.3 | 42.0 | 1.4 | 15.2 | 19.8 | 7.3 | 14.3 |
| Southern Province | 18.7 | 31.0 | 1.1 | 10.0 | 41.8 | 6.5 | 9.7 |
| Western Province | 16.4 | 30.1 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 50.1 | 6.4 | 4.6 |
| Northern Province | 16.3 | 27.9 | 0.3 | 8.8 | 44.0 | 5.1 | 13.9 |
| Eastern Province | 21.4 | 31.2 | 1.5 | 9.7 | 45.0 | 8.5 | 4.1 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 19.6 | 28.1 | 1.5 | 9.7 | 44.2 | 8.2 | 8.4 |
| Female | 15.3 | 33.8 | 1.7 | 7.7 | 44.7 | 5.2 | 6.9 |
| Orphanhood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not orphans | 17.1 | 30.9 | 1.5 | 8.5 | 44.4 | 6.8 | 7.8 |
| Single-parent orphans | 19.1 | 29.6 | 1.4 | 9.4 | 45.6 | 7.6 | 6.4 |
| Doubleparent orphans | 17.7 | 34.3 | 4.4 | 12.4 | 34.9 | 2.6 | 11.5 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 22.4 | 27.2 | 1.4 | 11.8 | 46.7 | 7.0 | 6.0 |
| Q2 | 19.9 | 34.5 | 1.0 | 8.6 | 41.1 | 8.0 | 6.8 |
| Q3 | 16.4 | 27.8 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 44.8 | 6.4 | 11.8 |
| Q4 | 16.7 | 30.4 | 2.3 | 5.8 | 48.8 | 6.8 | 5.9 |
| Q5 | 9.6 | 36.8 | 2.3 | 7.8 | 37.9 | 4.3 | 10.9 |

Source: EICV3

## Chapter 3: Access to secondary school

This chapter follows the same configuration as the previous chapter. It is structured into two main parts; the first part focuses on access to secondary school by assessing the Net Attendance Rates (NAR) and Gross Attendance Rates (GAR) indicators. The second part displays repetition and dropouts levels in the last 3 years and attempts to identify the main factors behind the different challenges at this education stage.

Table 3.1 presents NAR in secondary school by geographic, demographic, and socioeconomic characteristics. It indicates that, across all Rwanda, approximately 23 students out of 100 who were attending secondary school in 2013 are aged between 13 and 18 , which corresponds to a $5 \%$ upturn since EICV3.

Despite relative advances since 2010, secondary school attendance is still predominant in urban compared to rural areas ( $39 \%$ and $19 \%$ respectively). At the provincial level, Kigali continues to possess the highest secondary school attendance for children aged 13 to 18 years old (around $37 \%$ in both EICVs) while the Southern and Western provinces recorded the least (about 20\% both)

On a gender point of view, EICV4 results show that girls have the biggest proportion in terms of NAR in secondary compared to boys ( $25 \%$ and $21 \%$ respectively). When age is considered, the highest NAR in secondary schools is registered among children aged 17 and 18 years ( $35 \%$ and $34.5 \%$ respectively).

With regards to consumption quintiles, the NAR for secondary school is skewed to children belonging to the wealthiest quintile as $40 \%$ of them attend this education level whereas children in the poorest consumption quintile account for $11 \%$.

Table 3. 1: NAR in secondary school by urban/rural, province, age groups and consumption quintile

|  | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \%Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Rwanda | $\mathbf{2 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 7}$ |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |
| Urban | 38.9 | 36.6 | 6.3 |
| Rural | 19.3 | 14.1 | 36.2 |
| Province |  |  | 36.5 |
| Kigali City | 19.9 | 14.9 | 0.8 |
| Southern <br> Province | 19.9 | 16.4 | 33.6 |
| Western Province | 24.2 | 16.5 | 20.7 |
| Northern <br> Province | 21.3 | 15.9 | 46.7 |
| Eastern Province |  |  | 33.3 |
| Sex | 20.8 | 16.5 | 26.1 |
| Male | 25.0 | 19.0 | 31.6 |
| Female |  |  |  |
| Quintile |  |  |  |


|  | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \%Change |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Q1 | 10.6 | 6.7 | 58.4 |
| Q2 | 16.8 | 9.5 | 76.3 |
| Q3 | 20.5 | 14.8 | 38.0 |
| Q4 | 26.3 | 21.1 | 24.5 |
| Q5 | 39.8 | 34.8 | 14.2 |
| Age for primary |  |  |  |
| 13 years | 5.6 | 4.6 | 21.8 |
| 14 years | 12.9 | 8.7 | 47.9 |
| 15 years | 24.8 | 16.7 | 48.2 |
| 16 years | 30.6 | 27.8 | 10.1 |
| 17 years | 35.3 | 34.0 | 3.8 |
| 18 years | 34.5 | 36.7 | -5.9 |
| Disability status |  |  |  |
| Not disabled | 23.1 | 18.0 | 28.5 |
| Disabled | 15.5 | 9.7 | 59.9 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
The following figures depict how various districts performed vis-à-vis the national average of the secondary school net attendance rate. Figure 3.1 indicates that compared to other districts with the least NAR, the lowest NARs were observed in Rutsiro, Gisagara and Burera districts ( $9 \%, 13 \%$ and $16 \%$ respectively).

Figure 3.2 presents the top 10 districts with NARs in secondary school that are above national average in EICV4. The highest NAR was registered in Kicukiro (39\%), Gasabo (38\%) and Nyarugenge (34\%) districts.

Figure 3. 1: Bottom 10 districts with NARs in secondary school below the national average, EICV4


Source: EICV4

Figure 3. 2: Top 10 districts with NARs in secondary school above the national average, EICV4


Source: EICV4
With reference to Figure 3.3, an analysis of the secondary school net attendance rate in a gender perspective indicates an increase of almost $4 \%$ in the last 3 years nationwide (from $25 \%$ in EICV3 to $21 \%$ in EICV4). The gap between male and female student gets bigger in urban areas (22\%) and smaller in the rural ones (17\%).

At the province level, secondary school attendance for boys and girls almost comes to parity in Kigali city (about 39\% for both) whereas it fluctuates much in other provinces of the country.

Figure 3. 3: NARs in secondary school by province, urban/rural and sex, EICV4


Source: EICV4

Figure 3.4 presents disaggregation of the NAR by age and sex. It illustrates that apart from students aged 13 years ( $5.9 \%$ for boys and $5.3 \%$ for girls, respectively) the gap between boys and girls attending secondary school gets bigger as age increases, and it is highest among students aged 16 and 17 years.

Figure 3. 4: NARs in secondary school by age groups, EICV4


[^4]Figure 3.5 presents a breakdown of NAR by consumption quintiles and sex. Findings show that access to secondary school is still higher for the wealthiest population. Moreover, the male/female gap ratio alters through different quintile levels, but widens a bit more in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ (boys: $18 \%$ and girls: $23 \%$ ) and $4^{\text {th }}$ quintiles (boys: $23 \%$ and girls: 30\%).

Figure 3. 5: NARs in secondary school by consumption quintile, EICV4


Source: EICV4, EICV3
Irrespective of their age, the proportion of the population attending secondary school grew from $33 \%$ in 2010 to $41 \%$ in 2013. This corresponds to an $8 \%$ increase, which is a hint that, generally, access to secondary school education is expanding in Rwanda (Table 3.2).

A disaggregation by various differentials reveals that even though the biggest increase in terms of GAR was registered in the rural areas (from $28 \%$ in EICV3 to $36 \%$ in EICV4), the highest GAR is still observed among the population in urban areas compared to those in rural ones ( $64 \%$ and $26 \%$ respectively).

At the province level, the smallest GAR was again observed in the Southern Province ( $29 \%$ in EICV3 and 36\% in EICV4) while the highest was registered in Kigali city (62\%). With regards to age and sex, GAR in secondary gets higher for older students than younger ones. On a gender viewpoint, girls' gross attendance in secondary is higher than boys' ( $43 \%$ and $39 \%$ respectively).

Table 3. 2: GAR in secondary school by urban/rural, province, type of school and consumption quintiles

|  | Gross attendance rate in secondary GAR |  |  | GAR - NAR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \%change | EICV4 | EICV3 | \%change |
| All Rwanda | 41.1 | 32.9 | 24.9 | 18.2 | 15.1 | 20.5 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 63.9 | 60.7 | 5.3 | 24.9 | 24.1 | 3.3 |
| Rural | 35.8 | 27.5 | 30.3 | 16.6 | 13.4 | 23.9 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 61.8 | 62.2 | -0.6 | 25.0 | 25.7 | -2.7 |
| Southern Province | 35.9 | 29.0 | 23.8 | 16.0 | 14.1 | 13.5 |
| Western Province | 39.3 | 30.4 | 29.4 | 19.4 | 14.0 | 38.6 |
| Northern Province | 38.8 | 30.2 | 28.4 | 14.6 | 13.7 | 6.6 |
| Eastern Province | 39.5 | 30.6 | 29.1 | 18.2 | 14.7 | 23.8 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 39.5 | 32.5 | 21.5 | 18.6 | 16.0 | 16.3 |
| Female | 42.7 | 33.4 | 27.7 | 17.6 | 14.4 | 22.2 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 17.4 | 12.0 | 44.9 | 6.8 | 5.3 | 27.7 |
| Q2 | 29.9 | 18.8 | 58.8 | 13.1 | 3.6 | 263.1 |
| Q3 | 40.3 | 27.2 | 48.0 | 29.1 | 12.4 | 134.5 |
| Q4 | 49.5 | 41.7 | 18.7 | 26.3 | 20.6 | 27.6 |
| Q5 | 67.8 | 61.9 | 9.5 | 28.0 | 27.1 | 3.5 |
| Age for secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 yrs | 5.6 | 3.5 | 58.6 | 11.8 | 7.4 | 59.1 |
| 14yrs | 12.9 | 8.8 | 46.7 | 16.9 | 10.1 | 68.3 |
| 15yrs | 24.8 | 15.9 | 55.8 | 15.5 | 10.5 | 47.7 |
| 16yrs | 30.6 | 22.6 | 35.8 | 18.9 | 13.9 | 35.9 |
| 17yrs | 35.3 | 28.7 | 23.0 | 32.5 | 27.9 | 16.4 |
| 18 yrs | 34.5 | 31.8 | 8.6 | 33.3 | 25.2 | 31.9 |
| Disability status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not disabled | 41.3 | 33.3 | 24.0 | 18.1 | 15.3 | 18.7 |
| Disabled | 34.6 | 21.1 | 63.9 | 19.2 | 11.5 | 67.3 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

### 2.5. Repetition rates in secondary school

Figures 3.5 through to 3.7 illustrate the repetition rates in secondary school for a 2012 cohort of the population disaggregated by geographic, demographic, and socioeconomic characteristics. They show that, across all Rwanda, repetition rates in secondary school slightly dwindled from 3.2 in EICV3 to 3.1 in EICV4

Differentials by geographic characteristics province indicate that in the last 3 years the highest repetition rate was observed again in the Southern Province (5.5\%). Repetition
is still more prevalent in the rural area (3.5\%) than in the urban setting (2.2\%) (See Figure 3.5).

Figure 3.6: \% of population aged 14 and above attending secondary school in 2012 who repeated the 2012 class in 2013, by urban/rural and province, EICV4


Source: EICV4, EICV3
Figure 3.6 illustrates the repetition rates by different age- groups, EICV4 results indicate that the highest repetition rate was registered among individuals aged between 16 and 17 years (about 4\%) whereas the proportion of children repeating a secondary grade tends to decrease as children grow older.

Figure 3. 7: \% of population aged 14 and above attending secondary school in 2012 who repeated the 2012 class in 2013, by age group, EICV4


Source: EICV4, EICV3
Figure 3.7 presents repetition levels by sex, disability status and orphanhood status of students. Findings revealed that countrywide, repetition is more prevalent among female students (3.7\%) than male ones (2.5\%). Although the difference is narrow, the proportion of disabled children is higher compared to those that are not disabled ones ( $4.4 \%$ and $3.1 \%$ respectively). On the other hand, results indicate that orphanhood does not affect many individuals performance in secondary school, as the repetition rate observed among those attending secondary school in EICV4 is equal among nonorphans (3.4\%) and single parent orphans (3.4\%) while it is moderately smaller for children who don't have both parents (2.1\%).

Figure 3. 8: \% of population aged 14 and above attending secondary school in 2012 who repeated the 2012 class in 2013 by sex, disability and orphanhood status


Source: EICV4, EICV3

### 2.6. Promotion rate in secondary school

Table 3.3, shows a breakdown of promotion rates by geographic and gender characteristics. It reveals that nationwide promotion rate in secondary decreased by almost 6\%, from 93\% in EICV3 to 87\% in EICV4. Results show that a similar pattern was observed across various differentials and the rural area was the most affected (7\% decrease since EICV3) compared to the urban one (2\% decrease since EICV3).

Across provinces, the highest promotion rate is observed in Kigali City (92\%) while the lowest is found in the Southern Province (82\%). On the gender viewpoint, although the difference is minimal, male students are still more likely to get promoted in the next secondary grade than female ones ( $89 \%$ and $86 \%$ respectively). Promotion rate is highest among students belonging in the wealthiest quintile ( $(91 \%$ ) and among not disabled ones ( $87 \%$ ). When age of students is considered, those aged between 14 and 15 are the most likely to make it to a next grade in secondary ( $96 \%$ ).

Table 3. 3: Promotion rate in secondary school by urban/rural, province, sex, consumption quintiles, age groups, grade of the students, disability and orphanhood status (EICV4/EICV3)

|  | EICV4 | EICV3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 87.4 | 93.0 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |
| Urban | 90.7 | 92.3 |
| Rural | 85.8 | 93.4 |
| Province |  |  |
| Kigali City | 91.6 | 93.0 |
| Southern Province | 81.6 | 90.2 |
| Western Province | 88.6 | 94.7 |
| Northern Province | 87.5 | 91.8 |
| Eastern Province | 87.6 | 94.8 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 88.7 | 93.5 |
| Female | 86.2 | 92.5 |
| Quintile |  |  |
| Q1 | 83.5 | 93.5 |
| Q2 | 82.8 | 94.3 |
| Q3 | 88.0 | 94.2 |
| Q4 | 85.6 | 94.2 |
| Q5 | 90.6 | 91.7 |
| Age group |  |  |
| 14-15yrs | 95.9 | 97.0 |
| 16-17yrs | 87.2 | 95.9 |
| 18-19yrs | 85.9 | 95.5 |
| 20-21yrs | 87.2 | 91.0 |
| 22-23yrs | 86.3 | 92.1 |
| 24+ | 90.3 | 87.8 |
| Disability status |  |  |
| Not disabled | 87.5 | 93.2 |
| Disabled | 78.5 | 85.3 |
| Orphanhood |  |  |
| Not orphans | 87.5 | 94.8 |
| Single-parent orphans | 85.8 | 94.9 |
| Double-parent orphans | 89.4 | 92.6 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

## Chapter 4: Access to technical/ vocational and tertiary education

This chapter presents findings on how much technical/vocational and tertiary education are accessed in Rwanda. Results were disaggregated by geographic, demographic and socioeconomic differentials to allow an in-depth understanding of the reach of this type of education.

### 4.1. Technical and vocational education

The vocational education in Rwanda is a system which aims at providing recipients with the necessary knowledge and skills to exercise a profession in order to be integrated in the labor market. EICV collects information on whether one attended any technical and vocational training school in the last 12 months prior to the survey.

Figure 4.1 through Figure 4.3 present findings on the proportion of the population aged 14 and above that attended such courses in the last 12 months disaggregated by various differentials.

Countrywide, the number of the population who enrolled in these courses grew slightly from $2.06 \%$ in EICV3 to $2.46 \%$ in EICV4. A disaggregation at the subnational level indicates that technical and vocational attendance is predominant in urban (4\%) rather than in rural areas (2\%), it is highest in Kigali than elsewhere (4\%) in EICV4. The percentage of individuals attending vocational schools dropped moderately in the Southern Province (from 3\% in EICV3 to 2\% in EICV4) while The Eastern Province is catching up with Kigali city.

Figure 4. 1: Percentage population aged 14 and above attending technical and vocational training school in last 12 months by Urban/rural and province


Source: EICV4, EICV3
Figure 4.2 illustrates the technical/vocational schools' attendance with regards to gender and age. Across Rwanda, males tend to attend technical/vocational schools more than females ( $3 \%$ and $2 \%$, respectively). When age is considered, nevertheless relative advances in EICV4, access to technical/vocational education for younger individuals is still limited (about 2\% in both EICVs). While the highest technical/vocational school attendance was observed among the population aged between 20 and 24 years (5\%) there was a drastic decrease among the older cohort of those aged between 25 and 29 years (From 12\% in EICV3 to 3\% in EICV4), an indication that access to technical/vocational education is getting more common among the younger individuals than the older ones.

Figure 4. 2: Percentage population aged 14 and above attending technical/vocational training in last 12 months by sex and age groups


Source: EICV4, EICV3
With regards to consumption quintiles, somehow the national trend has changed since the EICV3, Figure 4.3 points out that access to technical/vocational education in EICV4 increases depending on someone's wealth category, It indicates that access to this type of education is more prevalent among the population in the wealthiest consumption quintiles compared to others belonging in the poorest ones.

Figure 4. 3: Percentage population aged 14 and above attending technical/vocational training in last 12 months by quintiles


Source: EICV4, EICV3

### 4.2. Access to tertiary education

This section elaborates the strides made in Rwanda in terms of access to higher education in the last three years. Table 4.1 illustrates that nationwide, the population attending the tertiary education continues to grow as it augmented approximately from 2\% in EICV3 to 3\% in EICV4.

Access to higher learning education among the population aged 16 to 30 remains prevalent in the urban population ( $8.5 \%$ ), but some improvements were observed since 2010 as less privileged subgroups of the population are also catching up. At the province level, Kigali city continues to have the highest tertiary school attendance ( $8.6 \%$ ) however, relative advances can be observed throughout other provinces especially the Southern and the Eastern Provinces (From 0.9\% in EICV3 to 2.1\% in EICV4 both).

With regards to consumption quintiles, the pattern relatively stayed the same as the one observed in 2010, EICV4 results designates that access to university education remains completely skewed to individuals in the wealthiest quintile (Q5: 7.8\%) while the poorest consumption recorded $0.3 \%$ (Q1).

Table 4. 1: $\%$ of individual's aged 16 to 30 attending an institution of higher learning, by urban/rural, province, age group and sex

|  | \% of population aged 1630 that attended tertiary education in 2013 | \% of population aged 16-30 that attended tertiary education in 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \%change |
| All Rwanda | 3.0 | 1.7 | 76.5 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.5 | 6.2 | 37.1 |
| Rural | 1.4 | 0.6 | 133.3 |
| Province |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 8.6 | 6.1 | 41.0 |
| Southern Province | 2.1 | 0.9 | 133.3 |
| Western Province | 1.5 | 1.2 | 25.0 |
| Northern Province | 2.4 | 1.3 | 84.6 |
| Eastern Province | 2.1 | 0.9 | 133.3 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 3.3 | 2.0 | 65.0 |
| Female | 2.4 | 1.5 | 60.0 |
| Age in 5-year groups |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 233.3 |
| 20-24 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 170.6 |
| 25-30 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 37.9 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - |
| Q2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 107.7 |
| Q3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 103.4 |
| Q4 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 309.4 |
| Q5 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 44.4 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

## Chapter 5: User satisfaction and facilities

EICV surveys ask questions on satisfaction with various services including education services. Although they are on a self-perceived basis, these questions allow for a review of progress in the level of satisfaction of users with the education system in Rwanda.

The analysis of satisfaction with schools is carried out at the household level and it is an assessment of how household members are contented with what the primary schools are offering their children. It is worth noting that while measuring the level of satisfaction with primary school only users that reported using the service sometime, often or regularly were considered, meaning that they would have children currently in primary school.

Table 5.1 presents these findings by geographic, demographic, and socio-economic characteristics. It indicates that, countrywide, $90 \%$ of households with children currently attending the primary school were contented with the education services they receive. This reflects a $5 \%$ increase since EICV4, a hint that the quality of education services provided in Rwanda is perceived to have improved in the last 3 years.

At the province level, satisfaction remained steady in Kigali city (85\% in both EICV3 and EICV4) while it augmented by $9 \%$ in the Northern Province. When consumption quintiles are considered, the satisfaction levels generally increased in the last 3 years, households in the lowest consumption quintiles were the most be pleased with primary school services (91\%) than other households in richest one (87\%).

Table 5. 1: Percentage (\%) of households satisfied with primary school, by urban/rural, Province and consumption quintile (EICV4, EICV3)

|  | \% households satisfied with <br> primary school | \% households satisfied <br> with primary school |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \% change |
| All Rwanda | $\mathbf{9 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |
| Urban | 90.3 | 85.2 | 6.0 |
| Rural | 90.0 | 85.2 | 5.6 |
| Province |  |  | 84.8 |
| Kigali City | 84.7 | 87.4 | -0.1 |
| Southern Province | 88.7 | 81.8 | 1.5 |
| Western Province | 88.1 | 86.6 | 7.7 |
| Northern Province | 94.3 | 85.5 | 8.9 |
| Eastern Province | 91.8 |  | 7.4 |
| Quintile |  | 86.4 |  |
| Q1 | 91.1 | 85.5 | 5.4 |
| Q2 | 91.0 | 85.1 | 6.5 |
| Q3 | 90.7 | 85.0 | 6.5 |
| Q4 | 88.7 | 83.8 | 4.4 |
| Q5 | 87.4 |  | 4.3 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

The provision of separate toilet facilities for boys and girls adds value to the quality of services offered in the education system. Figure 5.1 shows the progress made in the last 3 years in terms of provision of separate toilet facilities for boys and girls in primary schools. It indicates that, nationwide an increase of almost 4\% has been observed (from 87\% in EICV3 to 91\% in EICV4).
In terms of residence areas findings indicate that although the use of separate toilet for boys and girls continues to be highest in urban areas ( $94 \%$ in EICV3 and 95\% in EICV4) it is considerably increasing in rural ones as well (from 86\% in EICV3 to 90\%).
Across provinces, Kigali city registered the biggest proportion of the use of separate toilets for boys and girls while the Western prince still lags behind in terms of this facility ( $97 \%$ and $84 \%$ respectively).

Figure 5. 1: Use of separate toilet facilities for boys and girls at school, by province and urban/rural (\%)


Source: EICV4, EICV3
Figure 5.2 depicts the use of separate toilets for boys and girls when the level of school attended and sex of users is considered. It indicates that male and female students reported almost the same use of separate toilets (about 91\% both). When the level of education of the user is considered, the lowest use of separate facilities for boys and girls is found among post-primary school users (84\%) whereas the highest was registered among those attending secondary and tertiary education (87\% and 90\% respectively).

Figure 5. 2: Use of separate toilet facilities for boys and girls at school, by sex and level of school (\%)


Source: EICV4, EICV3
A disaggregation by type of school and consumption quintiles shows that the use of separate toilet facilities for boys and girls is somewhat equal among users in public ( $92.8 \%$ ) and private ( $93.4 \%$ ) schools, however it slightly falls among those studying in free/subsidized schools. Across consumption quintiles, although individuals from lower quintiles are catching up in EICV4, the use of separate toilets for boys and girls remains predominant among individuals in the richest quintile (94\%) (See Figure 5.3).

Figure 5. 3: Use of separate toilet facilities for boys and girls at school, by type of school and quintiles of school (\%)


Source: EICV4, EICV3

## Chapter 6: Literacy

EICV considers someone as "literate" when he/she reports having the ability to read and write a simple note. Table 6.1 presents literacy rates for persons aged 15 to 24 and aged 15 years and above. It can be observed that literacy rates are higher in the 15 to 24 age bracket ( $86 \%$ ) compared to those 15 and above ( $72 \%$ ). This is can be explained by the fact that the population in the age group of 15 and above is more likely to contain persons who have never been to school compared to those aged 15 to 24 .

Findings reveal that literacy levels are highest in urban (88\% for persons aged 15+ and $93 \%$ for persons aged 15-24) particularly in the City of Kigali ( $89 \%$ among the population aged $15+$ and $94 \%$ the population aged $15-24$ ) than in rural areas.

A disaggregation by sex shows that men in the older age bracket (15years and above) continue to have the highest literacy rates in EICV4 compared to women ( $77 \%$ and $68 \%$ respectively). On the contrary, in the younger age cohort (15-24 years) females registered again the highest literacy rate in EICV4 (87\%).

In terms of consumption quintiles, notwithstanding some improvements made in the oldest age cohort ( 15 and above), the ability to read and write is still skewed towards the population in the richest quintiles (Q5: 83\%). However it was observed that this gap got smaller in the last three years for those in the youngest age bracket (15-24 years), literacy is now at $77 \%$ in first quintile while it is at $91 \%$ in the fifth quintile.

Table 6. 1: Literacy rate (\%) among population aged 15-24 and 15 and above, by urban/rural, province and consumption quintiles

|  | Literacy \% (15-24 years) |  |  | Literacy \% (15 years and above) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \% change | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \% change |
| All Rwanda | 86.2 | 83.1 | 3.8 | 72.1 | 69.5 | 3.8 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 92.6 | 89.0 | 4.0 | 87.5 | 85.8 | 2.0 |
| Rural | 84.5 | 81.8 | 3.3 | 68.6 | 66.2 | 3.6 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 93.8 | 88.6 | 5.9 | 89.0 | 86.4 | 3.0 |
| Southern Province | 85.0 | 80.9 | 5.0 | 69.1 | 65.4 | 5.6 |
| Western Province | 85.3 | 82.9 | 2.9 | 69.3 | 68.3 | 1.4 |
| Northern Province | 87.2 | 83.9 | 3.9 | 71.2 | 68.5 | 3.9 |
| Eastern Province | 83.4 | 82.2 | 1.5 | 69.7 | 67.9 | 2.7 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 84.9 | 82.0 | 3.5 | 77.3 | 75.5 | 2.4 |
| Female | 87.5 | 84.2 | 4.0 | 67.6 | 64.5 | 4.8 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 77.4 | 74.9 | 3.3 | 59.1 | 57.3 | 3.1 |
| Q2 | 85.2 | 80.2 | 6.3 | 66.6 | 62.8 | 6.1 |
| Q3 | 86.2 | 83.1 | 3.7 | 70.4 | 67.5 | 4.4 |
| Q4 | 87.8 | 85.4 | 2.8 | 74.2 | 71.4 | 4.0 |
| Q5 | 91.1 | 88.4 | 3.1 | 84.8 | 83.2 | 1.9 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

According to EICV, a person is considered "computer literate" if he/she expressed selfconfidence with using a computer. Table 6.2 shows computer literacy rates in 2010-11 and 2013-14 for persons aged 15 to 24 and persons aged 15 and above by geographic, demographic and socio-economic characteristics. Similar to literacy discussed above, computer literacy tends to be higher in the 15-24 age group (10\%) than among those 15 and above (8\%) in 2013-14. Computer literacy in both age brackets increased in the last three years, it increased in the youngest age bracket (15-24 years) by $4 \%$ (from around $7 \%$ in EICV3 to $11 \%$ in EICV4) while it augmented by $3 \%$ in the oldest one (from $5 \%$ in EICV3 to 8\% in EICV4).

The use of computer is more common among urban dwellers, especially in Kigali as well as in the highest wealth quintiles. According to EICV4 results, computer literacy rates are significantly higher in Urban than in Rural (26\% against 4.3\%). Likewise, literacy rates are higher in Kigali City (24.4\%) than in other Provinces (7\% at most). When consumption quintiles is considered, EICV4 results indicate that the gap between the poorest and the wealthiest quintiles (Q1:3\% and Q5:24\% respectively) in terms of computer literacy is still visible despite some improvements between the two survey rounds.

Table 6. 2: Computer literacy rate (\%) among population aged 15-24 and 15 and above, by urban/rural, province, sex and consumption quintile, EICV4/EICV3

|  | Computer literacy rate \% 15 - <br> 24 years |  |  | Computer literacy rate \% 15 years and above |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \%chang | EICV 4 | EICV 3 | \%change |
| All Rwanda | 10.9 | 6.5 | 67.4 | 8.4 | 5.3 | 59.3 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.7 | 19.3 | 33.0 | 26.3 | 21.1 | 24.5 |
| Rural | 6.8 | 3.6 | 90.2 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 94.9 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 24.9 | 19.9 | 25.4 | 24.4 | 21.1 | 15.6 |
| Southern Province | 8.3 | 4.0 | 108.5 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 102.1 |
| Western Province | 8.6 | 4.1 | 110.3 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 98.5 |
| Northern Province | 10.6 | 6.7 | 58.2 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 33.3 |
| Eastern Province | 7.7 | 5.0 | 53.8 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 94.0 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 11.5 | 7.6 | 50.7 | 10.3 | 7.0 | 47.3 |
| Female | 10.3 | 5.5 | 87.8 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 74.7 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 274.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 276.4 |
| Q2 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 169.3 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 199.3 |
| Q3 | 6.5 | 3.0 | 116.5 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 128.2 |
| Q4 | 9.4 | 5.0 | 87.6 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 104.1 |
| Q5 | 24.3 | 17.1 | 42.0 | 24.6 | 17.8 | 38.1 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

## Annex A. Education tables

Table A1. 1: Distribution of population by age and sex (\%)

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Total population | Male | Female | Total | Total population |
| All <br> Rwanda | 47.8 | 52.2 | 100.0 | 11,432 | 47.4 | 52.6 | 100.0 | 10,762 |
| Age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-3 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 1,284 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 1,290 |
| 4-6 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 949 | 9.5 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 967 |
| 7-9 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 1,016 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 945 |
| 10-12 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 945 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 868 |
| 13-15 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 830 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 805 |
| 16-18 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 753 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 733 |
| 19-21 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 666 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 646 |
| 22-24 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 623 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 583 |
| 25-27 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 555 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 546 |
| 28-30 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 555 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 511 |
| 31-33 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 495 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 375 |
| 34-36 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 394 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 317 |
| 37-39 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 310 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 289 |
| 40-42 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 295 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 265 |
| 43-45 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 231 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 223 |
| 46-48 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 216 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 224 |
| 49-51 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 219 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 217 |
| 52-54 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 204 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 188 |
| 55-57 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 193 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 154 |
| 58-60 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 152 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 142 |
| 61-63 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 119 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 90 |
| 64 and above | 3.0 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 421 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 384 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 2: Percentage (\%) of population aged six+ years that have ever attended school by urban/rural

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Populatio <br> n aged 6+ <br> years <br> (000s) | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 6+ <br> years (000s) |
| All Rwanda | $\mathbf{8 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 2 1}$ |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 95.6 | 93.7 | 94.6 | 276 | 91.3 | 90.2 | 90.7 | 235 |
| Gasabo | 95.1 | 92.8 | 93.9 | 541 | 91.4 | 88.3 | 89.8 | 385 |
| Kicukiro | 96.7 | 93.2 | 94.8 | 293 | 96.2 | 92.6 | 94.4 | 250 |
| Nyanza | 86.8 | 82.2 | 84.4 | 286 | 83.6 | 77.6 | 80.4 | 252 |
| Gisagara | 83.1 | 78.4 | 80.6 | 286 | 80.8 | 77.5 | 79.0 | 268 |


|  | EICV4 |  |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Populatio <br> n aged 6+ <br> years <br> (000s) | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 6+ <br> years (000s) |
| Nyaruguru | 82.1 | 77.5 | 79.7 | 243 | 82.2 | 75.5 | 78.7 | 251 |
| Huye | 88.6 | 86.2 | 87.3 | 303 | 87.0 | 82.3 | 84.5 | 267 |
| Nyamagabe | 88.9 | 81.8 | 85.1 | 282 | 83.4 | 73.9 | 78.4 | 272 |
| Ruhango | 86.6 | 83.6 | 85.0 | 287 | 86.1 | 79.9 | 82.8 | 250 |
| Muhanga | 93.2 | 86.8 | 89.8 | 262 | 88.0 | 82.4 | 84.9 | 248 |
| Kamonyi | 89.7 | 85.0 | 87.2 | 287 | 88.1 | 83.2 | 85.5 | 274 |
| Karongi | 89.5 | 85.2 | 87.2 | 289 | 87.1 | 79.0 | 82.7 | 294 |
| Rutsiro | 84.6 | 77.3 | 80.7 | 277 | 83.6 | 76.5 | 79.9 | 265 |
| Rubavu | 87.2 | 78.5 | 82.6 | 365 | 88.2 | 78.1 | 82.6 | 332 |
| Nyabihu | 87.4 | 79.8 | 83.4 | 248 | 86.3 | 77.3 | 81.6 | 274 |
| Ngororero | 83.9 | 79.1 | 81.4 | 300 | 85.3 | 77.3 | 81.1 | 275 |
| Rusizi | 89.7 | 84.5 | 86.9 | 364 | 87.3 | 79.9 | 83.3 | 352 |
| Nyamasheke | 90.6 | 85.2 | 87.6 | 335 | 85.8 | 80.1 | 82.7 | 321 |
| Rulindo | 87.6 | 82.3 | 84.8 | 263 | 87.8 | 83.0 | 85.2 | 244 |
| Gakenke | 91.0 | 87.7 | 89.2 | 298 | 89.3 | 83.1 | 86.0 | 279 |
| Musanze | 91.8 | 87.0 | 89.2 | 332 | 89.3 | 80.8 | 84.6 | 342 |
| Burera | 89.3 | 79.5 | 84.3 | 296 | 85.6 | 76.2 | 80.6 | 299 |
| Gicumbi | 87.5 | 80.5 | 83.8 | 336 | 87.4 | 80.5 | 83.7 | 483 |
| Rwamagana | 90.0 | 86.1 | 87.9 | 321 | 86.3 | 82.0 | 84.0 | 263 |
| Nyagatare | 88.2 | 80.5 | 84.2 | 452 | 87.0 | 77.8 | 82.3 | 339 |
| Gatsibo | 87.3 | 83.1 | 85.1 | 391 | 82.3 | 77.2 | 79.7 | 400 |
| Kayonza | 87.4 | 83.9 | 85.6 | 329 | 83.6 | 79.8 | 81.6 | 268 |
| Kirehe | 86.6 | 80.2 | 83.3 | 317 | 86.7 | 76.3 | 81.4 | 267 |
| Ngoma | 86.6 | 81.2 | 83.7 | 313 | 86.7 | 79.0 | 82.5 | 260 |
| Bugesera | 86.3 | 84.2 | 85.2 | 346 | 82.6 | 80.0 | 81.3 | 312 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 3: (\%) of population aged 6-30 years that have attended school in the past 12 months by district

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 6-30 <br> years <br> $\mathbf{( 0 0 0 s )}$ | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 6-30 <br> years (000s) |
| All Rwanda | 59.4 | 58.4 | 58.9 | 6268 | 58.7 | 55.9 | 57.3 | 5952 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 55.4 | 51.5 | 53.4 | 191 | 48.8 | 52.4 | 50.7 | 169 |
| Gasabo | 55.0 | 56.5 | 55.8 | 377 | 56.4 | 51.3 | 53.7 | 263 |
| Kicukiro | 56.2 | 54.0 | 55.0 | 195 | 58.2 | 50.6 | 54.4 | 174 |
| Nyanza | 57.2 | 59.7 | 58.5 | 185 | 56.9 | 58.5 | 57.7 | 164 |
| Gisagara | 54.0 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 177 | 49.4 | 56.1 | 52.8 | 171 |
| Nyaruguru | 64.5 | 59.9 | 62.1 | 158 | 60.8 | 60.0 | 60.4 | 174 |
| Huye | 59.2 | 59.9 | 59.5 | 192 | 60.7 | 59.0 | 59.9 | 173 |
| Nyamagabe | 66.0 | 64.0 | 64.9 | 180 | 60.0 | 56.2 | 58.1 | 176 |


|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 6-30 <br> years <br> (000s) | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 6-30 <br> years (000s) |
| Ruhango | 67.0 | 62.1 | 64.7 | 175 | 60.7 | 55.2 | 57.9 | 161 |
| Muhanga | 61.9 | 59.7 | 60.8 | 163 | 58.5 | 57.5 | 57.9 | 157 |
| Kamonyi | 62.3 | 63.4 | 62.9 | 171 | 61.3 | 59.9 | 60.6 | 172 |
| Karongi | 64.4 | 64.7 | 64.6 | 188 | 59.7 | 59.6 | 59.6 | 191 |
| Rutsiro | 56.5 | 53.8 | 55.1 | 188 | 59.2 | 51.3 | 55.0 | 183 |
| Rubavu | 59.4 | 53.7 | 56.4 | 260 | 60.6 | 54.0 | 56.9 | 239 |
| Nyabihu | 59.6 | 59.5 | 59.5 | 171 | 60.4 | 57.5 | 58.9 | 194 |
| Ngororero | 64.6 | 54.8 | 59.5 | 192 | 57.1 | 53.1 | 55.0 | 182 |
| Rusizi | 57.7 | 60.5 | 59.2 | 239 | 61.6 | 58.6 | 60.0 | 238 |
| Nyamasheke | 64.7 | 60.3 | 62.3 | 215 | 58.6 | 52.5 | 55.3 | 219 |
| Rulindo | 62.5 | 61.4 | 62.0 | 165 | 64.3 | 58.9 | 61.4 | 161 |
| Gakenke | 60.1 | 55.8 | 57.9 | 197 | 57.5 | 53.0 | 55.1 | 187 |
| Musanze | 58.7 | 60.0 | 59.4 | 227 | 58.4 | 56.6 | 57.4 | 236 |
| Burera | 65.8 | 61.5 | 63.6 | 200 | 61.2 | 60.8 | 61.0 | 203 |
| Gicumbi | 59.0 | 61.2 | 60.2 | 220 | 60.0 | 60.3 | 60.1 | 336 |
| Rwamagana | 56.5 | 60.0 | 58.5 | 205 | 54.9 | 61.3 | 58.1 | 175 |
| Nyagatare | 58.5 | 63.5 | 61.0 | 309 | 64.6 | 55.9 | 60.3 | 232 |
| Gatsibo | 57.1 | 54.8 | 56.0 | 260 | 58.7 | 55.5 | 57.1 | 272 |
| Kayonza | 55.7 | 57.7 | 56.7 | 223 | 61.3 | 52.8 | 57.0 | 182 |
| Kirehe | 59.6 | 56.2 | 57.9 | 212 | 54.4 | 52.5 | 53.5 | 183 |
| Ngoma | 54.9 | 56.5 | 55.7 | 208 | 56.7 | 50.2 | 53.3 | 174 |
| Bugesera | 56.5 | 55.5 | 56.0 | 227 | 56.8 | 55.8 | 56.3 | 210 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 4: NAR (\%) at primary school by sex and district EICV4 /EICV3

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 7-12yrs <br> in 2013 <br> $\mathbf{( 0 0 0 )}$ | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 7-12yrs <br> in 2010 <br> (000s) |
| All Rwanda | $\mathbf{8 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 0}$ |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 86.5 | 84.5 | 85.5 | 43 | 87.4 | 90.7 | 89.1 | 38 |
| Gasabo | 94.7 | 90.2 | 92.3 | 86 | 90.1 | 88.6 | 89.3 | 64 |
| Kicukiro | 94.5 | 90.2 | 92.1 | 44 | 93.6 | 95.2 | 94.3 | 40 |
| Nyanza | 80.1 | 84.8 | 82.5 | 60 | 81.1 | 89.7 | 85.3 | 50 |
| Gisagara | 80.0 | 83.2 | 81.6 | 55 | 83.8 | 82.9 | 83.3 | 48 |
| Nyaruguru | 85.1 | 85.4 | 85.3 | 52 | 85.4 | 88.0 | 86.8 | 55 |
| Huye | 84.6 | 86.1 | 85.3 | 60 | 89.3 | 92.5 | 90.8 | 51 |
| Nyamagabe | 89.3 | 89.3 | 89.3 | 57 | 87.4 | 93.3 | 90.2 | 55 |
| Ruhango | 89.1 | 88.4 | 88.8 | 58 | 93.0 | 90.2 | 91.6 | 46 |
| Muhanga | 91.0 | 92.0 | 91.5 | 51 | 91.0 | 93.1 | 92.0 | 49 |
| Kamonyi | 87.0 | 91.9 | 89.3 | 55 | 90.6 | 96.7 | 93.5 | 54 |
| Karongi | 88.1 | 95.0 | 91.7 | 60 | 86.6 | 94.3 | 90.7 | 54 |


| Rutsiro | 84.6 | 90.1 | 87.4 | 60 | 85.4 | 88.5 | 86.9 | 57 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rubavu | 80.1 | 80.8 | 80.5 | 84 | 84.7 | 83.6 | 84.1 | 73 |
| Nyabihu | 81.7 | 88.8 | 85.2 | 55 | 94.9 | 95.8 | 95.3 | 58 |
| Ngororero | 87.7 | 88.9 | 88.3 | 67 | 90.9 | 91.3 | 91.1 | 56 |
| Rusizi | 87.3 | 91.1 | 89.4 | 70 | 83.9 | 90.0 | 87.1 | 72 |
| Nyamasheke | 88.9 | 90.4 | 89.7 | 63 | 92.3 | 90.0 | 91.1 | 62 |
| Rulindo | 88.1 | 90.0 | 89.1 | 52 | 92.0 | 93.3 | 92.7 | 53 |
| Gakenke | 90.7 | 88.9 | 89.8 | 62 | 95.4 | 88.3 | 91.9 | 53 |
| Musanze | 93.1 | 96.7 | 95.0 | 67 | 91.2 | 94.8 | 93.3 | 71 |
| Burera | 89.1 | 92.1 | 90.5 | 68 | 90.0 | 91.2 | 90.7 | 69 |
| Gicumbi | 92.0 | 94.3 | 93.2 | 70 | 96.3 | 96.0 | 96.1 | 100 |
| Rwamagana | 90.3 | 89.6 | 89.9 | 62 | 87.3 | 90.6 | 89.2 | 51 |
| Nyagatare | 81.3 | 84.9 | 83.1 | 95 | 82.1 | 92.6 | 87.0 | 73 |
| Gatsibo | 87.6 | 89.6 | 88.6 | 78 | 83.0 | 85.2 | 84.1 | 80 |
| Kayonza | 78.0 | 87.9 | 82.9 | 70 | 90.6 | 91.5 | 91.1 | 52 |
| Kirehe | 87.0 | 87.8 | 87.4 | 66 | 82.4 | 92.0 | 87.0 | 53 |
| Ngoma | 83.1 | 88.1 | 85.8 | 69 | 86.2 | 84.6 | 85.4 | 51 |
| Bugesera | 88.2 | 90.5 | 89.5 | 68 | 84.8 | 87.4 | 86.0 | 63 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 5: GAR (\%) at primary school by sex and district EICV4 /EICV3

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | Total populati on aged 7-12 yrs (000s) | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population aged 7-12yrs in 2010 (000s) |
| All Rwanda | 134.2 | 134.9 | 134.6 | 1905 | 144.4 | 143.6 | 144.0 | 1750 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 135.4 | 117.2 | 125.9 | 43 | 121.9 | 137.1 | 128.1 | 38 |
| Gasabo | 141.3 | 134.9 | 137.9 | 86 | 133.9 | 130.8 | 132.4 | 64 |
| Kicukiro | 138.2 | 129.1 | 133.1 | 44 | 123.8 | 133.0 | 130.2 | 40 |
| Nyanza | 123.2 | 127.2 | 125.3 | 60 | 145.0 | 142.8 | 148.4 | 50 |
| Gisagara | 130.5 | 130.4 | 130.4 | 55 | 151.0 | 127.7 | 142.3 | 48 |
| Nyaruguru | 140.4 | 134.8 | 137.6 | 52 | 149.0 | 144.9 | 145.5 | 55 |
| Huye | 128.7 | 137.0 | 132.8 | 60 | 132.5 | 132.5 | 138.6 | 51 |
| Nyamagabe | 155.3 | 139.5 | 146.5 | 57 | 145.9 | 145.1 | 151.4 | 55 |
| Ruhango | 142.7 | 139.7 | 141.3 | 58 | 154.4 | 144.8 | 151.9 | 46 |
| Muhanga | 132.8 | 138.8 | 135.6 | 51 | 135.2 | 149.8 | 144.3 | 49 |
| Kamonyi | 129.1 | 144.9 | 136.6 | 55 | 143.1 | 151.3 | 148.6 | 54 |
| Karongi | 144.2 | 148.1 | 146.2 | 60 | 169.4 | 151.3 | 167.6 | 54 |
| Rutsiro | 134.6 | 143.1 | 138.9 | 60 | 140.8 | 127.1 | 137.3 | 57 |
| Rubavu | 117.7 | 115.8 | 116.7 | 84 | 137.1 | 114.4 | 130.7 | 73 |
| Nyabihu | 125.5 | 133.8 | 129.7 | 55 | 143.3 | 150.5 | 145.6 | 58 |
| Ngororero | 127.7 | 133.2 | 130.3 | 67 | 139.7 | 146.4 | 142.9 | 56 |
| Rusizi | 138.8 | 141.4 | 140.2 | 70 | 143.6 | 140.5 | 142.0 | 72 |
| Nyamasheke | 141.8 | 150.3 | 146.0 | 63 | 157.9 | 140.3 | 153.6 | 62 |
| Rulindo | 134.5 | 127.3 | 130.9 | 52 | 134.8 | 141.8 | 140.4 | 53 |


| Gakenke | 135.0 | 127.1 | 131.1 | 62 | 140.4 | 133.2 | 139.2 | 53 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Musanze | 141.5 | 141.4 | 141.4 | 67 | 153.8 | 140.3 | 146.6 | 71 |
| Burera | 135.6 | 147.6 | 141.2 | 68 | 148.0 | 139.5 | 144.3 | 69 |
| Gicumbi | 136.2 | 141.9 | 139.1 | 70 | 156.1 | 150.1 | 153.9 | 100 |
| Rwamagana | 134.4 | 132.2 | 133.1 | 62 | 148.4 | 138.5 | 141.9 | 51 |
| Nyagatare | 134.1 | 137.8 | 136.0 | 95 | 140.8 | 147.8 | 141.4 | 73 |
| Gatsibo | 137.4 | 138.6 | 138.0 | 78 | 148.8 | 131.6 | 146.0 | 80 |
| Kayonza | 121.3 | 126.4 | 123.8 | 70 | 149.2 | 143.6 | 149.0 | 52 |
| Kirehe | 132.2 | 134.6 | 133.4 | 66 | 140.8 | 134.8 | 143.6 | 53 |
| Ngoma | 129.2 | 117.6 | 122.9 | 69 | 147.8 | 117.6 | 136.7 | 51 |
| Bugesera | 135.1 | 127.7 | 131.1 | 68 | 141.9 | 139.4 | 143.6 | 63 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 6: NARs in secondary school by sex and district EICV4/EICV3

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | Total <br> population <br> aged 13- <br> $18 y r s$ in <br> $2013(000 s)$ | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population aged 1318yrs (000s) |
| All Rwanda | 20.8 | 25.0 | 23.0 | 1,530 | 16.5 | 19.0 | 17.8 | 1,510 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 32.7 | 34.3 | 33.6 | 46 | 40.3 | 34.1 | 36.6 | 40 |
| Gasabo | 34.9 | 40.0 | 37.6 | 88 | 30.4 | 36.2 | 33.6 | 61 |
| Kicukiro | 45.7 | 33.8 | 38.8 | 44 | 40.6 | 42.5 | 41.7 | 35 |
| Nyanza | 17.6 | 15.8 | 16.7 | 41 | 10.4 | 16.6 | 13.6 | 42 |
| Gisagara | 12.2 | 13.8 | 13.0 | 44 | 9.1 | 12.1 | 10.5 | 46 |
| Nyaruguru | 18.8 | 21.7 | 20.2 | 43 | 16.9 | 11.6 | 14.4 | 48 |
| Huye | 22.6 | 20.1 | 21.3 | 49 | 24.2 | 25.8 | 25.1 | 43 |
| Nyamagabe | 17.7 | 24.1 | 20.9 | 49 | 12.9 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 47 |
| Ruhango | 19.0 | 25.3 | 22.0 | 46 | 12.8 | 14.2 | 13.5 | 40 |
| Muhanga | 14.8 | 28.1 | 21.5 | 39 | 10.1 | 19.0 | 14.8 | 39 |
| Kamonyi | 18.5 | 28.3 | 23.4 | 42 | 12.2 | 19.4 | 15.8 | 44 |
| Karongi | 16.6 | 26.3 | 21.8 | 47 | 13.0 | 9.9 | 11.4 | 54 |
| Rutsiro | 9.6 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 45 | 8.6 | 13.0 | 10.6 | 41 |
| Rubavu | 29.1 | 23.3 | 26.1 | 62 | 20.4 | 17.6 | 19.0 | 60 |
| Nyabihu | 13.3 | 25.7 | 20.1 | 39 | 19.6 | 19.3 | 19.5 | 50 |
| Ngororero | 17.6 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 43 | 13.9 | 15.1 | 14.6 | 44 |
| Rusizi | 21.4 | 27.9 | 24.8 | 60 | 20.6 | 24.8 | 22.7 | 68 |
| Nyamasheke | 14.0 | 19.4 | 16.9 | 51 | 14.7 | 12.3 | 13.5 | 58 |
| Rulindo | 22.4 | 36.5 | 29.3 | 43 | 13.6 | 22.5 | 18.8 | 40 |
| Gakenke | 14.9 | 31.0 | 23.7 | 47 | 15.2 | 20.2 | 17.7 | 42 |
| Musanze | 30.2 | 33.4 | 31.9 | 58 | 17.0 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 60 |
| Burera | 18.3 | 12.6 | 15.5 | 50 | 10.4 | 8.7 | 9.6 | 54 |
| Gicumbi | 19.6 | 22.4 | 21.0 | 62 | 16.4 | 21.3 | 19.1 | 91 |
| Rwamagana | 16.9 | 31.3 | 25.3 | 51 | 17.7 | 21.6 | 19.7 | 44 |
| Nyagatare | 21.3 | 22.1 | 21.7 | 85 | 16.8 | 22.1 | 19.1 | 61 |
| Gatsibo | 15.3 | 18.2 | 16.8 | 64 | 10.6 | 12.5 | 11.4 | 69 |


|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total <br> Both <br> sex <br> population <br> aged 13- <br> 18yrs in | Male | Female | Both <br> sex <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 ~ ( 0 0 0 s ) ~}$ | Total <br> population <br> aged 13- <br> 18yrs (000s) |  |
|  | 22.8 | 25.5 | 24.1 | 51 | 17.8 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 47 |
|  | 19.9 | 18.1 | 18.9 | 50 | 9.9 | 12.6 | 11.1 | 44 |
| Ngoma | 15.4 | 24.8 | 19.7 | 44 | 17.0 | 11.7 | 14.5 | 44 |
| Bugesera | 21.2 | 24.9 | 23.1 | 48 | 14.2 | 23.4 | 18.8 | 53 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 7: GARs in secondary school by sex and district EICV4/EICV3

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | Total <br> population <br> aged 7- <br> $12 y r s$ in <br> $2013(000 s)$ | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population aged 7-12 yrs in 2010 (000s) |
| All Rwanda | 39.5 | 42.7 | 41.1 | 1,530 | 32.7 | 33.5 | 32.9 | 1,510 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 55.5 | 57.3 | 56.5 | 46 | 61.4 | 56.5 | 58.5 | 40 |
| Gasabo | 65.2 | 64.5 | 64.8 | 88 | 58.7 | 57.5 | 58.0 | 61 |
| Kicukiro | 72.2 | 53.5 | 61.4 | 44 | 89.3 | 64.3 | 74.9 | 35 |
| Nyanza | 40.1 | 39.0 | 39.6 | 41 | 30.1 | 26.1 | 28.1 | 42 |
| Gisagara | 22.9 | 30.3 | 26.3 | 44 | 18.6 | 22.9 | 20.6 | 46 |
| Nyaruguru | 34.6 | 40.4 | 37.4 | 43 | 29.4 | 25.2 | 27.4 | 48 |
| Huye | 35.2 | 33.4 | 34.3 | 49 | 42.0 | 45.2 | 43.7 | 43 |
| Nyamagabe | 34.9 | 39.5 | 37.2 | 49 | 23.3 | 20.7 | 22.0 | 47 |
| Ruhango | 32.3 | 37.3 | 34.7 | 46 | 26.9 | 31.9 | 29.4 | 40 |
| Muhanga | 30.9 | 42.0 | 36.5 | 39 | 28.6 | 30.4 | 29.5 | 39 |
| Kamonyi | 34.1 | 49.8 | 41.8 | 42 | 26.7 | 38.8 | 32.7 | 44 |
| Karongi | 34.3 | 49.0 | 42.2 | 47 | 23.8 | 22.2 | 23.0 | 54 |
| Rutsiro | 22.8 | 19.6 | 21.3 | 45 | 21.6 | 21.7 | 21.6 | 41 |
| Rubavu | 54.2 | 39.6 | 46.7 | 62 | 42.3 | 32.9 | 37.7 | 60 |
| Nyabihu | 33.4 | 46.3 | 40.5 | 39 | 37.6 | 34.9 | 36.2 | 50 |
| Ngororero | 29.2 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 43 | 26.5 | 24.3 | 25.3 | 44 |
| Rusizi | 44.5 | 45.5 | 45.0 | 60 | 38.9 | 38.7 | 38.8 | 68 |
| Nyamasheke | 41.0 | 48.1 | 44.7 | 51 | 24.9 | 29.6 | 27.2 | 58 |
| Rulindo | 41.1 | 51.2 | 46.0 | 43 | 31.3 | 34.6 | 33.2 | 40 |
| Gakenke | 31.4 | 42.1 | 37.2 | 47 | 31.4 | 34.6 | 33.0 | 42 |
| Musanze | 47.3 | 46.1 | 46.7 | 58 | 30.4 | 28.2 | 29.2 | 60 |
| Burera | 32.1 | 32.9 | 32.5 | 50 | 26.8 | 17.4 | 22.2 | 54 |
| Gicumbi | 31.7 | 33.6 | 32.7 | 62 | 31.0 | 35.1 | 33.2 | 91 |
| Rwamagana | 39.7 | 48.7 | 44.9 | 51 | 36.9 | 41.1 | 39.0 | 44 |
| Nyagatare | 36.5 | 36.7 | 36.6 | 85 | 32.3 | 35.3 | 33.6 | 61 |
| Gatsibo | 31.6 | 32.8 | 32.2 | 64 | 22.3 | 32.4 | 26.6 | 69 |
| Kayonza | 38.2 | 48.1 | 43.0 | 51 | 32.6 | 29.9 | 31.3 | 47 |
| Kirehe | 47.7 | 29.1 | 37.8 | 50 | 28.0 | 25.2 | 26.7 | 44 |


|  |  |  | ICV4 |  |  |  | CV3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | Total <br> population <br> aged 7- <br> $12 y r s$ in <br> $2013(000 s)$ | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population aged 7-12 yrs in 2010 (000s) |
| Ngoma | 35.2 | 50.4 | 42.1 | 44 | 29.7 | 24.3 | 27.2 | 44 |
| Bugesera | 41.0 | 47.3 | 44.2 | 48 | 27.7 | 34.6 | 31.1 | 53 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 8: Repetition rates at primary school by sex and district

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population attending primary school in 2012 (000s) | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) |
| All Rwanda | 26.8 | 23.7 | 25.2 | 2,565 | 32.4 | 28.2 | 30.3 | 2,519 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 12.2 | 14.5 | 13.3 | 54 | 25.4 | 19.8 | 22.5 | 49 |
| Gasabo | 25.7 | 19.7 | 22.5 | 72 | 28.2 | 27.1 | 27.7 | 85 |
| Kicukiro | 16.7 | 19.2 | 18.0 | 71 | 13.1 | 18.1 | 15.3 | 52 |
| Nyanza | 20.9 | 17.0 | 18.8 | 79 | 31.4 | 30.5 | 31.0 | 74 |
| Gisagara | 27.2 | 27.1 | 27.2 | 83 | 33.3 | 29.2 | 31.1 | 68 |
| Nyaruguru | 30.9 | 25.8 | 28.4 | 82 | 25.5 | 22.6 | 24.1 | 80 |
| Huye | 29.1 | 23.0 | 26.0 | 69 | 28.2 | 24.6 | 26.4 | 71 |
| Nyamagabe | 37.3 | 32.7 | 34.9 | 76 | 35.0 | 29.4 | 32.2 | 83 |
| Ruhango | 27.6 | 21.2 | 24.6 | 87 | 42.0 | 30.2 | 36.4 | 70 |
| Muhanga | 37.7 | 31.7 | 34.9 | 84 | 33.8 | 22.3 | 27.9 | 70 |
| Kamonyi | 39.0 | 34.3 | 36.8 | 98 | 37.7 | 31.1 | 34.4 | 80 |
| Karongi | 37.3 | 30.8 | 33.9 | 71 | 47.3 | 40.2 | 43.5 | 90 |
| Rutsiro | 20.6 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 88 | 32.1 | 32.3 | 32.2 | 78 |
| Rubavu | 8.5 | 12.0 | 10.3 | 98 | 29.3 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 95 |
| Nyabihu | 43.1 | 38.4 | 40.6 | 92 | 41.7 | 34.1 | 37.8 | 84 |
| Ngororero | 40.4 | 32.5 | 36.7 | 68 | 33.1 | 36.4 | 34.8 | 80 |
| Rusizi | 25.5 | 22.7 | 24.0 | 81 | 22.6 | 19.1 | 20.8 | 102 |
| Nyamasheke | 28.3 | 21.7 | 24.9 | 96 | 32.7 | 30.6 | 31.7 | 95 |
| Rulindo | 17.0 | 15.2 | 16.2 | 96 | 35.2 | 29.7 | 32.4 | 74 |
| Gakenke | 22.6 | 27.9 | 25.1 | 98 | 29.7 | 15.7 | 23.1 | 74 |
| Musanze | 25.7 | 23.6 | 24.5 | 82 | 26.1 | 23.0 | 24.4 | 104 |
| Burera | 21.6 | 10.6 | 16.4 | 129 | 32.1 | 35.8 | 34.0 | 99 |
| Gicumbi | 29.5 | 27.0 | 28.2 | 108 | 34.5 | 25.6 | 29.8 | 154 |
| Rwamagana | 18.1 | 17.6 | 17.8 | 87 | 23.2 | 21.8 | 22.4 | 72 |
| Nyagatare | 20.0 | 16.9 | 18.4 | 89 | 37.7 | 36.2 | 37.0 | 103 |
| Gatsibo | 26.7 | 34.2 | 30.4 | 85 | 36.6 | 26.4 | 31.9 | 117 |
| Kayonza | 28.3 | 28.0 | 28.2 | 89 | 33.4 | 27.4 | 30.6 | 78 |
| Kirehe | 29.8 | 29.2 | 29.5 | 0 | 32.9 | 26.6 | 29.9 | 77 |
| Ngoma | 34.9 | 21.0 | 27.7 | 0 | 30.7 | 33.9 | 32.3 | 70 |


|  |  |  | CV4 |  |  |  | CV3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population attending primary school in 2012 (000s) | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) |
| Bugesera | 21.5 | 19.9 | 20.7 | 0 | 33.3 | 28.7 | 31.0 | 90 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 9: Repetition rates at secondary school by sex and district EICV4/EICV3

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population attending secondary school in 2012 $(000 s)$ | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population attending primary school in 2009 $(000 s)$ |
| All Rwanda | 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 656 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 499 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 28 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 24 |
| Gasabo | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 58 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 35 |
| Kicukiro | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 27 | 8.4 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 26 |
| Nyanza | 1.9 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 18 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 12 |
| Gisagara | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 12 | 7.5 | 12.4 | 10.1 | 9 |
| Nyaruguru | 12.5 | 8.1 | 10.2 | 17 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 13 |
| Huye | 7.9 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 18 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 19 |
| Nyamagabe | 6.0 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 19 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 10 |
| Ruhango | 8.7 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 17 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 12 |
| Muhanga | 5.4 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 16 | 10.5 | 2.2 | 5.9 | 12 |
| Kamonyi | 4.9 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 18 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 14 |
| Karongi | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 22 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 13 |
| Rutsiro | 0.0 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 10 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 9 |
| Rubavu | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 30 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 23 |
| Nyabihu | 0.0 | 7.9 | 4.9 | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18 |
| Ngororero | 8.4 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11 |
| Rusizi | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 26 |
| Nyamasheke | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 25 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 16 |
| Rulindo | 6.8 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 20 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 13 |
| Gakenke | 0.0 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 18 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 14 |
| Musanze | 1.5 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 27 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 17 |
| Burera | 1.9 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 17 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 12 |
| Gicumbi | 1.9 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 21 | 5.2 | 10.4 | 8.1 | 30 |
| Rwamagana | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 23 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 17 |
| Nyagatare | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 32 | 1.2 | 7.4 | 4.1 | 20 |
| Gatsibo | 0.0 | 8.0 | 4.2 | 21 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 18 |


| Kayonza | 5.0 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 22 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 4.3 | 15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kirehe | 1.5 | 9.9 | 5.1 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12 |
| Ngoma | 1.9 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 19 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 12 |
| Bugesera | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 22 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 17 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 10: Promotion rates at primary school by sex and district

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population attending primary school in 2012 (000s) | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Total population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) |
| All Rwanda | 68.7 | 73.8 | 71.3 | 656 | 67.0 | 71.8 | 69.4 | 2519 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 86.0 | 79.8 | 82.9 | 28 | 76.4 | 82.4 | 79.6 | 49 |
| Gasabo | 72.6 | 76.0 | 74.4 | 58 | 72.0 | 75.4 | 73.7 | 85 |
| Kicukiro | 83.4 | 78.1 | 80.5 | 27 | 88.6 | 85.2 | 87.1 | 52 |
| Nyanza | 72.6 | 80.4 | 76.8 | 18 | 66.6 | 69.2 | 67.9 | 74 |
| Gisagara | 65.8 | 68.7 | 67.2 | 12 | 63.1 | 69.2 | 66.4 | 68 |
| Nyaruguru | 66.9 | 70.7 | 68.8 | 17 | 72.1 | 76.1 | 74.1 | 80 |
| Huye | 64.6 | 74.7 | 69.8 | 18 | 71.9 | 75.4 | 73.6 | 71 |
| Nyamagabe | 59.0 | 65.6 | 62.5 | 19 | 63.6 | 70.2 | 66.9 | 83 |
| Ruhango | 69.8 | 77.2 | 73.3 | 17 | 56.9 | 68.1 | 62.2 | 70 |
| Muhanga | 60.7 | 70.8 | 65.5 | 16 | 66.2 | 79.2 | 73.1 | 70 |
| Kamonyi | 56.7 | 66.2 | 61.4 | 18 | 61.1 | 67.7 | 64.4 | 80 |
| Karongi | 61.9 | 69.4 | 65.9 | 22 | 53.1 | 60.3 | 56.9 | 90 |
| Rutsiro | 73.1 | 74.8 | 74.0 | 10 | 66.9 | 66.6 | 66.8 | 78 |
| Rubavu | 85.7 | 84.4 | 85.1 | 30 | 69.8 | 69.8 | 69.8 | 95 |
| Nyabihu | 52.3 | 59.7 | 56.1 | 18 | 57.6 | 66.0 | 61.9 | 84 |
| Ngororero | 55.8 | 64.6 | 60.1 | 13 | 65.5 | 64.2 | 64.8 | 80 |
| Rusizi | 68.0 | 74.8 | 71.7 | 28 | 77.2 | 81.3 | 79.3 | 102 |
| Nyamasheke | 66.3 | 75.8 | 71.3 | 25 | 66.2 | 69.3 | 67.8 | 95 |
| Rulindo | 78.3 | 83.2 | 80.7 | 20 | 64.2 | 72.8 | 68.7 | 74 |
| Gakenke | 73.4 | 72.4 | 72.9 | 18 | 70.5 | 84.1 | 76.9 | 74 |
| Musanze | 71.2 | 75.9 | 73.8 | 27 | 73.6 | 77.1 | 75.6 | 104 |
| Burera | 73.1 | 87.3 | 79.9 | 17 | 65.1 | 61.6 | 63.3 | 99 |
| Gicumbi | 67.9 | 74.2 | 71.3 | 21 | 66.2 | 74.9 | 70.8 | 154 |
| Rwamagana | 76.7 | 82.1 | 79.8 | 23 | 74.5 | 77.5 | 76.2 | 72 |
| Nyagatare | 73.4 | 79.3 | 76.3 | 32 | 62.0 | 63.1 | 62.5 | 103 |
| Gatsibo | 64.9 | 62.2 | 63.5 | 21 | 61.8 | 72.3 | 66.7 | 117 |
| Kayonza | 64.4 | 65.1 | 64.8 | 22 | 66.1 | 71.6 | 68.7 | 78 |
| Kirehe | 66.2 | 65.9 | 66.0 | 20 | 66.6 | 71.8 | 69.0 | 77 |
| Ngoma | 59.0 | 76.1 | 67.7 | 19 | 69.7 | 65.2 | 67.6 | 70 |
| Bugesera | 74.7 | 77.3 | 76.1 | 22 | 66.0 | 72.0 | 69.1 | 90 |

## Source: EICV4, EICV3

Table A1. 11: Promotion rates at primary school by sex and district

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | Total <br> population <br> attending <br> secondary <br> school in <br> 2012 <br> $[000 s)$ | Male | Female | Both sex | Total population attending secondary school in 2009 $[000 s)$ |
| All Rwanda | 88.7 | 86.2 | 87.4 | 656 | 93.5 | 92.5 | 93.0 | 499 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 89.3 | 92.0 | 90.8 | 28 | 97.4 | 97.3 | 97.4 | 24 |
| Gasabo | 94.7 | 92.9 | 93.7 | 58 | 92.9 | 91.9 | 92.4 | 35 |
| Kicukiro | 91.2 | 85.5 | 88.2 | 27 | 88.0 | 92.1 | 90.0 | 26 |
| Nyanza | 91.5 | 83.8 | 87.9 | 18 | 92.5 | 86.3 | 89.6 | 12 |
| Gisagara | 81.9 | 83.3 | 82.6 | 12 | 84.3 | 79.7 | 82.1 | 9 |
| Nyaruguru | 71.5 | 77.9 | 74.7 | 17 | 96.0 | 92.4 | 94.3 | 13 |
| Huye | 78.1 | 70.2 | 74.4 | 18 | 90.0 | 89.1 | 89.5 | 19 |
| Nyamagabe | 85.0 | 88.7 | 86.8 | 19 | 92.8 | 88.8 | 90.9 | 10 |
| Ruhango | 85.8 | 71.9 | 78.9 | 17 | 90.5 | 93.9 | 92.4 | 12 |
| Muhanga | 86.4 | 82.8 | 84.2 | 16 | 79.7 | 89.8 | 84.3 | 12 |
| Kamonyi | 83.8 | 84.5 | 84.2 | 18 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 96.2 | 14 |
| Karongi | 88.6 | 90.9 | 90.0 | 22 | 96.3 | 94.7 | 95.4 | 13 |
| Rutsiro | 87.4 | 79.5 | 83.6 | 10 | 87.8 | 92.1 | 89.8 | 9 |
| Rubavu | 94.6 | 93.6 | 94.1 | 30 | 95.8 | 92.2 | 94.2 | 23 |
| Nyabihu | 77.7 | 77.6 | 77.7 | 18 | 98.0 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 18 |
| Ngororero | 78.3 | 79.7 | 79.0 | 13 | 100.0 | 96.1 | 98.0 | 11 |
| Rusizi | 95.9 | 93.5 | 94.7 | 28 | 89.7 | 92.6 | 91.1 | 26 |
| Nyamasheke | 87.2 | 90.9 | 89.2 | 25 | 93.1 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 16 |
| Rulindo | 88.2 | 85.0 | 86.4 | 20 | 97.0 | 89.6 | 92.9 | 13 |
| Gakenke | 92.7 | 78.7 | 84.5 | 18 | 89.7 | 100.0 | 95.3 | 14 |
| Musanze | 96.8 | 87.9 | 92.3 | 27 | 98.3 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 17 |
| Burera | 90.6 | 84.1 | 87.2 | 17 | 97.1 | 91.0 | 95.0 | 12 |
| Gicumbi | 93.0 | 77.6 | 85.7 | 21 | 91.0 | 79.8 | 84.2 | 30 |
| Rwamagana | 77.3 | 96.8 | 87.9 | 23 | 91.7 | 96.1 | 94.0 | 17 |
| Nyagatare | 96.6 | 89.5 | 93.2 | 32 | 98.1 | 85.0 | 91.4 | 20 |
| Gatsibo | 92.9 | 79.6 | 86.3 | 21 | 93.8 | 98.2 | 96.3 | 18 |
| Kayonza | 76.8 | 86.9 | 81.9 | 22 | 97.4 | 88.9 | 93.3 | 15 |
| Kirehe | 88.7 | 76.4 | 83.3 | 20 | 96.7 | 88.9 | 93.3 | 12 |
| Ngoma | 92.4 | 87.8 | 90.0 | 19 | 96.9 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 12 |
| Bugesera | 87.5 | 90.6 | 89.1 | 22 | 96.5 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 17 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

Table A1. 12: Literacy rate (\%) of population aged 15-24 years by sex and district

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Population } \\ \text { aged 15- } \\ 24 y r s(000 s) \end{gathered}$ | Male | Female | Both sex | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Population } \\ \text { aged 15- } \\ 24 \mathrm{yrs}(000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ |
| All Rwanda | 84.9 | 87.5 | 86.2 | 2278 | 82.0 | 84.2 | 83.1 | 2232 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 94.2 | 91.7 | 92.9 | 80 | 87.6 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 71 |
| Gasabo | 94.4 | 95.0 | 94.7 | 154 | 87.4 | 87.9 | 87.7 | 112 |
| Kicukiro | 93.8 | 92.3 | 93.0 | 78 | 93.6 | 89.2 | 91.2 | 67 |
| Nyanza | 82.4 | 84.5 | 83.4 | 64 | 78.4 | 84.4 | 81.4 | 58 |
| Gisagara | 76.2 | 86.0 | 81.1 | 66 | 66.7 | 71.9 | 69.2 | 62 |
| Nyaruguru | 77.6 | 85.7 | 81.7 | 60 | 81.1 | 80.9 | 81.0 | 65 |
| Huye | 78.4 | 92.2 | 85.0 | 69 | 78.7 | 82.0 | 80.5 | 64 |
| Nyamagabe | 83.1 | 90.7 | 87.1 | 65 | 84.7 | 83.4 | 84.1 | 64 |
| Ruhango | 83.7 | 89.0 | 86.3 | 57 | 72.6 | 84.2 | 78.4 | 59 |
| Muhanga | 87.4 | 92.5 | 89.9 | 53 | 81.2 | 89.1 | 85.3 | 57 |
| Kamonyi | 81.2 | 91.3 | 86.5 | 56 | 81.6 | 93.4 | 87.6 | 62 |
| Karongi | 87.5 | 89.7 | 88.7 | 68 | 85.2 | 85.7 | 85.4 | 74 |
| Rutsiro | 82.6 | 82.2 | 82.4 | 63 | 81.0 | 83.3 | 82.2 | 61 |
| Rubavu | 88.3 | 83.7 | 85.9 | 94 | 82.9 | 74.9 | 78.7 | 88 |
| Nyabihu | 84.1 | 88.4 | 86.5 | 60 | 79.4 | 83.5 | 81.5 | 79 |
| Ngororero | 79.6 | 81.0 | 80.4 | 63 | 79.6 | 80.6 | 80.2 | 64 |
| Rusizi | 85.4 | 88.2 | 86.8 | 92 | 89.4 | 87.5 | 88.5 | 99 |
| Nyamasheke | 86.0 | 84.8 | 85.4 | 82 | 81.0 | 83.5 | 82.4 | 82 |
| Rulindo | 86.8 | 92.0 | 89.5 | 60 | 85.4 | 90.0 | 88.1 | 55 |
| Gakenke | 86.8 | 92.7 | 90.0 | 66 | 84.4 | 87.0 | 85.7 | 65 |
| Musanze | 85.5 | 89.3 | 87.4 | 83 | 79.8 | 75.5 | 77.6 | 86 |
| Burera | 80.6 | 84.7 | 82.6 | 70 | 85.3 | 84.0 | 84.7 | 76 |
| Gicumbi | 87.3 | 86.6 | 87.0 | 86 | 80.4 | 88.6 | 84.9 | 137 |
| Rwamagana | 86.9 | 91.9 | 89.7 | 76 | 86.6 | 86.7 | 86.6 | 69 |
| Nyagatare | 88.2 | 82.6 | 85.8 | 114 | 80.3 | 83.0 | 81.6 | 83 |
| Gatsibo | 86.7 | 83.5 | 85.0 | 96 | 74.9 | 80.2 | 77.6 | 99 |
| Kayonza | 84.4 | 88.4 | 86.4 | 82 | 79.7 | 82.6 | 81.2 | 67 |
| Kirehe | 80.9 | 84.3 | 82.5 | 78 | 82.0 | 80.6 | 81.4 | 65 |
| Ngoma | 67.1 | 68.0 | 67.5 | 69 | 85.6 | 83.4 | 84.5 | 64 |
| Bugesera | 80.1 | 87.4 | 83.9 | 73 | 81.9 | 87.2 | 84.7 | 75 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 13: Literacy rate (\%) of population aged 15 above by sex and district

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 15+ <br> yrs (000s) | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 15+ <br> yrs (000s) |
| All Rwanda | $\mathbf{7 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 5 7}$ |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 90.7 | 86.0 | 88.4 | 211 | 89.4 | 84.2 | 86.7 | 179 |
| Gasabo | 91.7 | 85.5 | 88.5 | 407 | 88.5 | 80.9 | 84.4 | 291 |


|  |  |  |  |  | EICV4 |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 15+ <br> (rs (000s) | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 15+ <br> yrs (000s) |  |  |
| Kicukiro | 94.3 | 87.3 | 90.5 | 226 | 91.9 | 86.6 | 89.2 | 190 |  |  |
| Nyanza | 75.6 | 65.2 | 70.0 | 196 | 72.7 | 57.4 | 64.2 | 174 |  |  |
| Gisagara | 69.6 | 60.8 | 64.8 | 202 | 63.2 | 52.3 | 57.4 | 191 |  |  |
| Nyaruguru | 66.4 | 60.6 | 63.3 | 164 | 70.1 | 56.2 | 62.8 | 169 |  |  |
| Huye | 72.8 | 72.1 | 72.4 | 213 | 70.5 | 66.1 | 68.0 | 188 |  |  |
| Nyamagabe | 73.8 | 65.4 | 69.3 | 195 | 70.0 | 57.0 | 63.0 | 188 |  |  |
| Ruhango | 74.1 | 67.8 | 70.7 | 198 | 68.0 | 62.5 | 65.0 | 180 |  |  |
| Muhanga | 78.4 | 70.7 | 74.2 | 186 | 72.3 | 69.4 | 70.7 | 174 |  |  |
| Kamonyi | 69.9 | 64.8 | 67.0 | 202 | 73.3 | 71.6 | 72.4 | 195 |  |  |
| Karongi | 73.8 | 66.9 | 70.0 | 199 | 77.0 | 64.0 | 69.9 | 206 |  |  |
| Rutsiro | 73.4 | 59.4 | 65.8 | 187 | 71.9 | 57.2 | 63.9 | 178 |  |  |
| Rubavu | 82.5 | 63.7 | 72.6 | 243 | 77.9 | 60.8 | 68.6 | 221 |  |  |
| Nyabihu | 77.4 | 65.4 | 70.9 | 166 | 76.8 | 60.9 | 68.2 | 185 |  |  |
| Ngororero | 63.5 | 58.9 | 61.0 | 204 | 71.0 | 57.5 | 63.6 | 190 |  |  |
| Rusizi | 79.2 | 67.5 | 73.0 | 255 | 79.9 | 66.3 | 72.6 | 245 |  |  |
| Nyamasheke | 76.8 | 64.5 | 69.9 | 239 | 76.3 | 63.9 | 69.2 | 225 |  |  |
| Rulindo | 75.2 | 66.9 | 70.7 | 185 | 74.5 | 67.9 | 70.8 | 167 |  |  |
| Gakenke | 77.5 | 71.6 | 74.3 | 207 | 73.9 | 67.8 | 70.6 | 200 |  |  |
| Musanze | 80.0 | 68.2 | 73.7 | 231 | 73.7 | 58.7 | 65.4 | 235 |  |  |
| Burera | 75.1 | 58.3 | 66.2 | 197 | 75.9 | 54.8 | 64.8 | 198 |  |  |
| Gicumbi | 75.3 | 65.9 | 70.4 | 233 | 75.4 | 66.2 | 70.5 | 338 |  |  |
| Rwamagana | 79.7 | 76.2 | 77.7 | 224 | 76.4 | 65.6 | 70.8 | 185 |  |  |
| Nyagatare | 78.4 | 59.7 | 69.1 | 305 | 74.5 | 60.6 | 67.3 | 225 |  |  |
| Gatsibo | 78.1 | 65.3 | 71.3 | 268 | 67.7 | 58.0 | 62.5 | 272 |  |  |
| Kayonza | 76.8 | 68.0 | 72.2 | 225 | 70.5 | 63.6 | 66.8 | 186 |  |  |
| Kirehe | 76.8 | 61.6 | 68.9 | 220 | 77.8 | 58.7 | 67.6 | 184 |  |  |
| Ngoma | 60.1 | 48.9 | 54.1 | 210 | 76.6 | 65.5 | 70.5 | 181 |  |  |
| Bugesera | 78.0 | 69.5 | 73.5 | 238 | 77.6 | 67.4 | 72.2 | 215 |  |  |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 14: Computer Literacy rate (\%) of population aged 15-24 years by sex and district

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 15-24yrs <br> $\mathbf{( 0 0 0 s )}$ | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 15-24yrs <br> $\mathbf{( 0 0 0 s )}$ |
| All Rwanda | 11.5 | 10.3 | 10.9 | 2278 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 2232 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 27.0 | 24.3 | 25.6 | 80 | 24.3 | 16.5 | 19.8 | 71 |
| Gasabo | 27.3 | 21.7 | 24.3 | 154 | 22.4 | 12.3 | 16.8 | 112 |
| Kicukiro | 29.9 | 22.2 | 25.6 | 78 | 33.2 | 18.5 | 25.1 | 67 |
| Nyanza | 5.9 | 11.9 | 8.8 | 64 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 58 |
| Gisagara | 2.0 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 66 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 62 |
| Nyaruguru | 4.9 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 60 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 65 |
| Huye | 8.3 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 69 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 64 |


|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both sex | Population aged 15-24yrs (000s) | Male | Female | Both sex | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population } \\ & \text { aged } 15-24 \mathrm{yrs} \\ & (000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Nyamagabe | 9.3 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 65 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 64 |
| Ruhango | 10.3 | 6.4 | 8.4 | 57 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 59 |
| Muhanga | 13.6 | 16.5 | 15.1 | 53 | 11.0 | 4.9 | 7.9 | 57 |
| Kamonyi | 11.3 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 56 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 62 |
| Karongi | 9.6 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 68 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 74 |
| Rutsiro | 5.9 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 63 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 61 |
| Rubavu | 16.8 | 11.3 | 14.0 | 94 | 10.9 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 88 |
| Nyabihu | 7.5 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 60 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 79 |
| Ngororero | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 63 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 64 |
| Rusizi | 8.1 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 92 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 99 |
| Nyamasheke | 9.8 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 82 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 82 |
| Rulindo | 8.7 | 11.7 | 10.2 | 60 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 55 |
| Gakenke | 14.2 | 10.4 | 12.2 | 66 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 65 |
| Musanze | 13.6 | 7.1 | 10.3 | 83 | 7.9 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 86 |
| Burera | 10.0 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 70 | 8.5 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 76 |
| Gicumbi | 12.0 | 8.8 | 10.4 | 86 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 137 |
| Rwamagana | 10.4 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 76 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 69 |
| Nyagatare | 6.2 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 114 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 83 |
| Gatsibo | 4.1 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 96 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 99 |
| Kayonza | 10.5 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 82 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 67 |
| Kirehe | 7.0 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 78 | 6.1 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 65 |
| Ngoma | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 69 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 64 |
| Bugesera | 12.7 | 13.6 | 13.1 | 73 | 10.9 | 6.0 | 8.3 | 75 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 15: Computer literacy rate (\%) of population aged 15 above by sex and district

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 15+ yrs <br> $\mathbf{( 0 0 0 s )}$ | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | Population <br> aged 15+ yrs <br> $\mathbf{( 0 0 0 s )}$ |
| All Rwanda | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 6 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 5 7}$ |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 26.2 | 19.8 | 23.0 | 211 | 25.7 | 18.8 | 22.1 | 179 |
| Gasabo | 27.6 | 19.6 | 23.5 | 407 | 23.6 | 12.1 | 17.4 | 291 |
| Kicukiro | 31.9 | 23.3 | 27.3 | 226 | 30.6 | 20.8 | 25.7 | 190 |
| Nyanza | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 196 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 174 |
| Gisagara | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 202 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 191 |
| Nyaruguru | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 164 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 169 |
| Huye | 9.4 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 213 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 188 |
| Nyamagabe | 7.2 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 195 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 188 |
| Ruhango | 6.7 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 198 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 180 |
| Muhanga | 11.7 | 9.0 | 10.2 | 186 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 174 |
| Kamonyi | 5.2 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 202 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 195 |
| Karongi | 7.1 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 199 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 206 |


| Rutsiro | 4.6 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 187 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 178 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rubavu | 14.8 | 8.4 | 11.4 | 243 | 12.1 | 6.1 | 8.8 | 221 |
| Nyabihu | 7.8 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 166 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 185 |
| Ngororero | 5.0 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 204 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 190 |
| Rusizi | 9.1 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 255 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 245 |
| Nyamasheke | 6.6 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 239 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 225 |
| Rulindo | 6.6 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 185 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 167 |
| Gakenke | 8.1 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 207 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 200 |
| Musanze | 11.7 | 6.5 | 8.9 | 231 | 9.4 | 4.0 | 6.4 | 235 |
| Burera | 9.1 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 197 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 198 |
| Gicumbi | 8.3 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 233 | 9.2 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 338 |
| Rwamagana | 7.4 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 224 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 185 |
| Nyagatare | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 305 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 225 |
| Gatsibo | 6.3 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 268 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 272 |
| Kayonza | 9.5 | 4.3 | 6.8 | 225 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 186 |
| Kirehe | 5.9 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 220 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 184 |
| Ngoma | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 210 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 181 |
| Bugesera | 11.5 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 238 | 6.2 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 215 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 16: Population aged 16-30 years that attended tertiary education in 2013 and 2010

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | population <br> aged 16-30 <br> years in <br> 2013 (000s) | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | population <br> aged 16-30 <br> years in 2010 <br> (000s) |
| All Rwanda | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 4 0}$ |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 707 |
| Nyarugenge | 10.5 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 118 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 107 |
| Gasabo | 7.5 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 225 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 159 |
| Kicukiro | 12.1 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 122 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 110 |
| Nyanza | 2.2 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 87 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 76 |
| Gisagara | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 84 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84 |
| Nyaruguru | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 71 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 79 |
| Huye | 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 92 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 83 |
| Nyamagabe | 3.6 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 85 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 80 |
| Ruhango | 3.6 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 77 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 79 |
| Muhanga | 4.6 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 82 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 75 |
| Kamonyi | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 80 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 80 |
| Karongi | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 89 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 90 |
| Rutsiro | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 91 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 88 |
| Rubavu | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 122 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 117 |
| Nyabihu | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 82 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 94 |
| Ngororero | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 90 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 90 |
| Rusizi | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 119 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 116 |
| Nyamasheke | 2.3 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 110 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 111 |
| Rulindo | 4.1 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 76 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 74 |
| Gakenke | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 99 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 98 |


|  | EICV4 |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | population <br> aged 16-30 <br> years in <br> 2013 (000s) | Male | Female | Both <br> sex | population <br> aged 16-30 <br> years in 2010 <br> (000s) |
| Musanze | 4.4 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 114 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 116 |
| Burera | 3.0 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 92 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 85 |
| Gicumbi | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 102 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 164 |
| Rwamagana | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 98 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 90 |
| Nyagatare | 2.1 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 138 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 103 |
| Gatsibo | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 126 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 126 |
| Kayonza | 3.0 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 110 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 88 |
| Kirehe | 3.1 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 102 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 91 |
| Ngoma | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 99 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 85 |
| Bugesera | 2.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 108 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Source: EICV4 | ElC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table A1. 17: Percentage (\%) of households satisfied with primary school, according to district (EICV4, EICV3)

|  | EICV4 |  | EICV3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | \% satisfied with <br> primary school | Households using <br> primary school <br> (000s) | \% satisfied with <br> primary school | Households using <br> primary school <br> (000s) |
| All Rwanda | $\mathbf{9 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 1}$ |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 96.4 | 27 | 93.1 | 28 |
| Gasabo | 80.8 | 58 | 84.5 | 47 |
| Kicukiro | 79.2 | 90.5 | 41 | 75.1 |


|  | EICV4 |  | EICV3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | \% satisfied with <br> primary school | Households using <br> primary school <br> (000s) | \% satisfied with <br> primary school | Households using <br> primary school <br> $\mathbf{( 0 0 0 s )}$ |
| Nyagatare | 90.9 | 65 | 86.8 | 56 |
| Gatsibo | 88.9 | 61 | 85.0 | 65 |
| Kayonza | 94.5 | 51 | 84.7 | 43 |
| Kirehe | 99.2 | 47 | 93.8 | 45 |
| Ngoma | 90.8 | 48 | 92.7 | 41 |
| Bugesera | 88.9 | 53 | 77.7 | 57 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

## Annex B Education Tables

Table B1 1: NAR (\%) at primary school by urban/rural, province, type of school, age, consumption quintile and sex, EICV4 and EICV3

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{9}{\sum_{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{0}{\sum_{x}^{\pi}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Rwanda | 86.8 | 936 | 88.9 | 969 | 87.9 | 1905 | 88.4 | 863 | 90.7 | 886 | 89.6 | 1750 |
| Urban/ rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 91.7 | 133 | 90.5 | 140 | 91.2 | 273 | 91.0 | 123 | 93.7 | 130 | 92.4 | 253 |
| Rural | 86.0 | 803 | 88.7 | 828 | 87.4 | 1632 | 88.0 | 740 | 90.1 | 756 | 89.1 | 1496 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 92.4 | 80 | 88.8 | 93 | 90.6 | 173 | 90.5 | 75 | 90.8 | 67 | 90.6 | 142 |
| Southern Province | 85.7 | 225 | 87.5 | 222 | 86.6 | 447 | 87.8 | 206 | 90.7 | 201 | 89.2 | 408 |
| Western Province | 85.4 | 226 | 88.7 | 233 | 87.2 | 459 | 88.3 | 206 | 90.1 | 224 | 89.2 | 430 |
| Northern Province | 90.7 | 159 | 92.7 | 159 | 91.7 | 318 | 93.3 | 163 | 93.3 | 183 | 93.3 | 346 |
| Eastern Province | 84.7 | 246 | 88.0 | 262 | 86.5 | 508 | 84.8 | 213 | 89.0 | 211 | 86.9 | 424 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 80.6 | 246 | 84.0 | 242 | 82.3 | 489 | 82.3 | 209 | 86.1 | 221 | 84.3 | 430 |
| Q2 | 85.2 | 210 | 89.4 | 222 | 87.4 | 432 | 88.8 | 196 | 91.0 | 187 | 89.9 | 383 |
| Q3 | 89.2 | 191 | 90.6 | 196 | 89.9 | 388 | 90.1 | 170 | 91.4 | 170 | 90.7 | 341 |
| Q4 | 91.3 | 159 | 90.8 | 170 | 91.0 | 330 | 90.7 | 150 | 92.3 | 156 | 91.5 | 305 |
| Q5 | 92.0 | 130 | 92.1 | 138 | 92.0 | 268 | 92.7 | 138 | 94.2 | 153 | 93.5 | 291 |
| Age for primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 years | 66.6 | 173 | 70.9 | 177 | 68.8 | 350 | 66.8 | 161 | 74.4 | 161 | 70.6 | 322 |
| 8 years | 85.8 | 165 | 88.1 | 163 | 87.0 | 328 | 88.8 | 155 | 90.2 | 158 | 89.5 | 312 |
| 9 years | 91.0 | 158 | 92.5 | 169 | 91.8 | 327 | 93.1 | 132 | 94.9 | 138 | 94.0 | 270 |
| 10 years | 94.8 | 149 | 96.2 | 155 | 95.5 | 304 | 96.7 | 168 | 96.1 | 171 | 96.4 | 339 |
| 11 years | 94.4 | 161 | 94.6 | 164 | 94.5 | 325 | 95.6 | 125 | 96.3 | 128 | 95.9 | 253 |
| 12 years | 91.1 | 130 | 93.7 | 140 | 92.5 | 270 | 92.8 | 123 | 94.2 | 131 | 93.5 | 253 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3
Table B1 2: GAR (\%) at primary school by urban/rural, province, consumption quintile and sex, EICV4 and EICV3

|  | EICV4 |  |  |  |  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{0}{\sqrt[\pi]{\pi}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{0}{\sum_{n}^{\pi}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{x}{4} \\ & \dot{N} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| All Rwanda | 134.4 | 936 | 134.9 | 969 | 134.6 | 1905 | 144.4 | 863 | 143.6 | 886 | 144.0 | 1750 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 139.2 | 133 | 135.8 | 140 | 137.5 | 273 | 134.6 | 123 | 137.9 | 130 | 136.3 | 253 |
| Rural | 133.6 | 803 | 134.7 | 828 | 134.1 | 1632 | 146.0 | 740 | 144.6 | 756 | 145.3 | 1496 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 139.0 | 80 | 129.6 | 93 | 133.9 | 173 | 127.5 | 75 | 133.9 | 67 | 130.6 | 142 |


| Southern <br> Province | 135.2 | 225 | 136.6 | 222 | 135.9 | 447 | 144.2 | 206 | 148.6 | 201 | 146.4 | 408 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Western <br> Province | 132.4 | 226 | 137.1 | 233 | 134.8 | 459 | 146.9 | 206 | 143.1 | 224 | 144.9 | 430 |
| Northern <br> Province | 136.9 | 159 | 138.5 | 159 | 137.7 | 318 | 148.0 | 163 | 144.6 | 183 | 146.2 | 346 |
| Eastern <br> Province | 132.2 | 246 | 131.1 | 262 | 131.6 | 508 | 145.1 | 213 | 141.4 | 211 | 143.3 | 424 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 122.2 | 246 | 129.6 | 242 | 125.8 | 489 | 136.1 | 209 | 135.3 | 221 | 135.7 | 430 |
| Q2 | 131.7 | 210 | 134.4 | 222 | 133.1 | 432 | 142.5 | 196 | 146.9 | 187 | 144.7 | 383 |
| Q3 | 138.8 | 191 | 134.3 | 196 | 136.6 | 388 | 146.9 | 170 | 148.7 | 170 | 147.8 | 341 |
| Q4 | 144.8 | 159 | 142.0 | 170 | 143.4 | 330 | 153.8 | 150 | 145.6 | 156 | 149.6 | 305 |
| Q5 | 142.4 | 130 | 136.9 | 138 | 139.6 | 268 | 146.1 | 138 | 143.6 | 153 | 144.8 | 291 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

Table B1 3: Reasons for curtailment of studies (\%) among primary school-age children EICV4

|  | $\stackrel{\star}{\theta}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { x } \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 3 3 3 |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 21.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 33.9 | 22.2 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 1.3 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 37.5 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 32.2 | 23.9 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 20.8 | 1.5 |
| Rural | 18.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 34.1 | 21.9 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 1.2 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 45.6 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 34.6 | 23.5 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 17.8 | 1.3 |
| Southern <br> Province | 16.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 32.6 | 20.2 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 9.9 | 1.2 |
| Western Province | 14.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 33.2 | 25.3 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 1.6 |
| Northern Province | 14.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 37.1 | 20.6 | 1.8 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 1.6 |
| Eastern <br> Province | 24.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 33.0 | 21.4 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 7.7 | 0.8 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 21.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 35.2 | 20.5 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 8.7 | 0.6 |
| Female | 21.8 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 32.6 | 23.6 | 2.3 | 6.2 | 8.8 | 1.9 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 12.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 28.4 | 16.8 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| Q2 | 13.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 29.1 | 19.2 | 1.9 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 0.9 |
| Q3 | 18.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 35.2 | 20.9 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 1.2 |
| Q4 | 24.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 39.4 | 24.5 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 8.2 | 1.6 |
| Q5 | 40.8 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 39.3 | 32.4 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 27.0 | 2.1 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

Table B1 4: Reasons for curtailment of studies (\%) among secondary school-age children EICV4 (new indicator)

|  | tin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 쓸 } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{y} \\ & \text { 苞 } \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ $\frac{ \pm}{ \pm}$ 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 29.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 44.5 | 30.2 | 2.9 | 7.8 | 12.5 | 1.9 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 42.1 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 36.4 | 27.1 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 23.5 | 1.7 |
| Rural | 26.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 46.1 | 30.8 | 3.0 | 8.4 | 9.6 | 1.9 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 51.5 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 39.7 | 27.2 | 2.7 | 6.6 | 20.7 | 1.6 |
| Southern Province | 22.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 43.2 | 27.7 | 2.0 | 8.1 | 14.2 | 1.7 |
| Western Province | 21.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 44.1 | 34.5 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 2.5 |
| Northern Province | 20.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 48.8 | 28.8 | 2.7 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 2.4 |
| Eastern Province | 33.7 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 44.9 | 30.2 | 3.3 | 9.4 | 11.6 | 1.3 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 29.6 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 46.4 | 28.5 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 12.7 | 0.9 |
| Female | 29.6 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 42.5 | 31.6 | 3.4 | 9.0 | 12.4 | 2.8 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 20.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 42.1 | 26.2 | 1.9 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 1.5 |
| Q2 | 21.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 42.2 | 29.0 | 3.2 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 1.6 |
| Q3 | 26.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 47.1 | 29.4 | 3.6 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 1.9 |
| Q4 | 30.8 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 48.1 | 30.9 | 3.8 | 7.8 | 10.8 | 2.1 |
| Q5 | 43.6 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 42.3 | 34.8 | 2.3 | 6.3 | 29.0 | 2.3 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

Table B1 5: Disability status according to education level studied in 2013, by urban/rural, province, sex and consumption quintiles.

|  | Attended Primary in 2013 |  | Attended Secondary in 2013 |  | Attended University in 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Without disability | With disability | Without disability | With disability | Without disability | With disability |
| All Rwanda | 98.7 | 1.3 | 98.2 | 1.8 | 98.7 | 1.3 |
| Urban/rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.4 | 0.6 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 98.3 | 1.7 |
| Rural | 98.6 | 1.4 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 99.5 | 0.5 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 99.7 | 0.3 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 98.2 | 1.8 |
| Southern Province | 98.3 | 1.7 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Western Province | 98.4 | 1.6 | 98.2 | 1.8 | 97.3 | 2.7 |
| Northern Province | 99.0 | 1.0 | 97.9 | 2.2 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| Eastern Province | 98.7 | 1.3 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 98.6 | 1.4 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 97.9 | 2.1 |
| Female | 98.8 | 1.2 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 99.7 | 0.3 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 98.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 98.3 | 87.4 | 12.6 |
| Q2 | 98.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 98.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Q3 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 97.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Q4 | 98.8 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 97.8 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| Q5 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 98.4 | 98.8 | 1.2 |

Source: EICV4, EICV3

## EICV4 Staff

## EICV4 National Coordination

Yusuf Murangwa

## EICV4 Technical Coordination

## Dominique Habimana

## EICV4 Field Coordination

Juvenal Munyarugerero

## EICV4 Education analysis

- Salomon MUTSINZI, Statistician -NISR


## EICV4 data processing

- Mario Vaisman - Juste Nitiema

EICV4 Proof reading, designing and copy-editing

- Jean Claude NYIRIMANZI
- Ruben MUHAYITETO
- Denise UWAMARIYA
- Dr Joseph NKURUNZIZA

EICV4 - Fieldwork Supervision

- Mugabo Jean
- Kamana Roger
- Mwizerwa Nicolas
- Nzabonimpa Jean Claude
- Segahwege Astrid
- Serugendo Jean Baptiste

| EICV4 Staff - Centre Zone |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province | Number of Center Staff | Province | Number of Center Staff |
| Kigali City Zone |  | Western Zone |  |
| Nyarugenge | 7 | Karongi | 6 |
| Gasabo | 7 | Rutsiro | 6 |
| Kicukiro | 7 | Rubavu | 6 |
| Southern Zone |  | Nyabihu | 6 |
| Nyanza | 6 | Ngororero | 6 |
| Gisagara | 6 | Rusizi | 6 |
| Nyaruguru | 6 | Nyamasheke | 6 |
| Huye | 6 | Eastern Zone |  |
| Nyamagabe | 6 | Rwamagana | 6 |
| Ruhango | 6 | Nyagatare | 6 |
| Muhanga | 6 | Gatsibo | 6 |
| Kamonyi | 6 | Kayonza | 6 |
| Nothern Zone |  | Kirehe | 6 |
| Rulindo | 6 | Ngoma | 6 |
| Gakenke | 6 | Bugesera | 6 |
| Musanze | 6 |  |  |
| Burera | 6 |  |  |
| Gicumbi | 6 |  |  |
|  | 4 VUP |  | CV4 Panel |
| Zones | Number EICV 4 VUP Staff | Zones | Number of EICV 4 PANEL Staff |
| Kigali City Zone | 10 | Kigali City Zone | 3 |
| Southern Zone | 7 | Southern Zone | 3 |
| Western Zone | 7 | Western Zone | 3 |
| Nothern Zone | 6 | Nothern Zone | 3 |
| Eastern Zone | 7 | Eastern Zone | 3 |

$$
\underline{2 z}
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The shares in the tables at the individual level are slightly different from $20 \%$ in each quintile as domestic servants are excluded in the consumption aggregate estimation and hence the definition of thresholds for quintiles, but are included in most of the thematic analysis in this report. Moreover, tables at household level tend to show fewer households in the bottom quintiles and more households in the top quintiles, since quintiles are established at person-level and households in higher quintiles tend to have fewer household members.

[^1]:    Source: EICV4, EICV3

[^2]:    Source: EICV4, EICV3

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ EICV considers every child who missed any school day in the previous week preceding the interview. Note that those who missed school because they were on holidays or completed their studies were not counted as absentees.

[^4]:    Source: EICV4, EICV3

